

THE ROLE OF FATE AND PROPHECY IN THE FALL OF CAMELOT IN SIR THOMAS MALORY'S LE MORTE D'ARTHUR**Jamshid Fazliddinovich Hayitmurodov**Master's Degree Student, Department of Foreign Languages and Literature
ISFT Institute, Samarkand BranchScientific Supervisor: **Amanullayeva Kamola Muminovna**
Samarkand – 2026**ABSTRACT**

Sir Thomas Malory's *Le Morte d'Arthur* remains one of the most influential works of medieval English literature. Among the numerous themes explored in the narrative, the concepts of fate and prophecy occupy a particularly important position. Throughout the text, prophetic warnings repeatedly announce future disasters, especially the destruction of Camelot and the death of King Arthur. These predictions create an atmosphere of inevitability and raise an important question: were the tragic events predetermined by fate, or were they the result of human choices? This article examines the role of prophecy in Malory's narrative and analyzes how predictions influence the actions of Arthur, Mordred, Lancelot, and other characters. The study argues that fate and free will function simultaneously in *Le Morte d'Arthur*. Although prophetic revelations indicate the future, the downfall of Camelot occurs largely because characters react to those prophecies in destructive ways. Malory presents a world in which destiny may reveal possible outcomes, but human decisions ultimately contribute to their fulfillment.

Keywords: fate, prophecy, King Arthur, Camelot, Malory, medieval literature, Merlin, Mordred, free will, tragedy.

INTRODUCTION**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

This study employs a qualitative literary analysis of Sir Thomas Malory's *Le Morte d'Arthur*. The research focuses on textual interpretation of prophetic episodes, character decisions, and narrative developments associated with fate and free will. Primary evidence is drawn from Malory's text, while secondary sources provide historical and critical context. The analysis examines how prophetic warnings influence character behavior and contribute to the eventual fall of Camelot.

The Arthurian legends have fascinated readers for centuries because they combine heroic adventure, political conflict, spiritual quests, and tragic endings. Among the many versions of the Arthurian story, Sir Thomas Malory's *Le Morte d'Arthur* occupies a special place in English literary history. Written in the fifteenth century, the work presents both the rise and the fall of King Arthur's kingdom, creating a powerful reflection on human ambition, loyalty, and mortality.

One of the most significant features of Malory's narrative is the persistent presence of prophecy. Important events are often predicted long before they occur. Merlin foresees future dangers, mysterious warnings appear throughout the story, and several characters receive knowledge about events that have not yet happened. These prophetic elements create dramatic tension because readers know that disaster is approaching even while the characters attempt to avoid it.

The importance of fate in medieval literature cannot be overstated.

Medieval audiences frequently believed that divine providence guided human history. At the same time, Christian theology emphasized individual responsibility and moral choice. As a result, medieval authors often explored the relationship between destiny and free will. Malory's *Le Morte d'Arthur* reflects this tension throughout the narrative.

This article investigates how prophecy functions in the text and how it contributes to the fall of Camelot. Special attention will be given to Merlin's predictions, the prophecy concerning Mordred, and the final fulfillment of Arthur's destiny. The study argues that prophecy in *Le Morte d'Arthur* serves not merely as a narrative device but as a central mechanism through which Malory examines the limits of human control over history.

THE PROPHEPIC FOUNDATION OF ARTHUR'S KINGDOM

From the very beginning of Arthur's story, prophecy shapes the course of events. Arthur's rise to power is closely connected with Merlin, the prophet and magician whose knowledge of the future guides many political decisions. Unlike ordinary advisors, Merlin possesses an extraordinary understanding of future events. His predictions provide legitimacy to Arthur's rule and help establish the foundations of the kingdom.

Merlin's role demonstrates that Arthur's reign is not entirely the result of personal achievement. Instead, Arthur appears as a figure chosen by destiny. The famous episode of the sword in the stone reinforces this idea. Arthur does not become king simply because of military strength or noble birth. Rather, his kingship is presented as part of a larger providential design.

However, the same prophetic forces that support Arthur's rise also foreshadow his destruction. From the beginning, the narrative contains signs that Camelot's greatness will not last forever. The kingdom is therefore established under the shadow of future tragedy. Malory creates a paradox: the very destiny that elevates Arthur also contains the seeds of his downfall.

THE PROPHECY OF MORDRED

Perhaps the most important prophecy in *Le Morte d'Arthur* concerns Mordred, Arthur's illegitimate son. Merlin predicts that Mordred will eventually become responsible for Arthur's death and the destruction of the kingdom. This revelation becomes one of the defining moments of the narrative.

Arthur's reaction to the prophecy is significant. Instead of accepting the warning and seeking a constructive solution, he attempts to eliminate the threat. In one of the most controversial episodes of the story, Arthur orders the removal of children born on the same day as Mordred in an effort to prevent the prophecy from being fulfilled.

Ironically, this attempt to escape fate contributes to its realization. Mordred survives and eventually grows into the very threat that Arthur feared. The episode reflects a common pattern found in classical and medieval literature: efforts to avoid destiny often become the means through which destiny is fulfilled.

Malory uses this pattern to explore the limitations of human power. Even a king cannot fully control the future. Arthur possesses military strength, political authority, and wise advisors, yet none of these advantages allow him to overcome the prophecy. His struggle against fate ultimately proves unsuccessful.

MERLIN AS THE VOICE OF DESTINY

Throughout the narrative, Merlin functions as the principal voice of prophecy. He repeatedly warns characters about future dangers and attempts to guide them away from destructive choices. Yet his warnings are frequently ignored or misunderstood.

Merlin's inability to prevent disaster raises an important question. If the future can be known, why cannot it be changed? Malory does not provide a simple answer. Instead, he presents prophecy as knowledge rather than control. Merlin can reveal what is likely to happen, but he cannot force individuals to act wisely.

This distinction is crucial. Prophecy in *Le Morte d'Arthur* is not a mechanism that removes human responsibility. Characters remain free to make decisions. However, they often choose paths that lead directly toward the outcomes that have been predicted.

The tragedy of Merlin lies in his awareness. He sees the future destruction of Camelot but lacks the power to prevent it. His prophetic knowledge becomes a source of frustration rather than victory. In this sense, Merlin symbolizes the limitations of wisdom in a world governed by both destiny and human weakness.

Fate and the Love of Lancelot and Guinevere

The Final Fulfillment of Prophecy at Camlann

FATE AND THE LOVE OF LANCELOT AND GUINEVERE

Another important dimension of prophecy concerns the relationship between Lancelot and Guinevere. Although their love initially appears private, it gradually develops into a political crisis that weakens the unity of the Round Table. Various warnings throughout the narrative suggest that internal division will prove more dangerous than external enemies. The affair contributes to distrust, conflict, and the fragmentation of Arthur's kingdom. In this way, personal choices become intertwined with the larger destiny of Camelot.

THE FINAL FULFILLMENT OF PROPHECY AT CAMLANN

The Battle of Camlann represents the ultimate fulfillment of the prophecies that have shaped the narrative from its beginning. Arthur and Mordred confront one another in a final conflict that results in mutual destruction. The kingdom that was once established through prophetic guidance collapses in accordance with prophetic warnings. Malory portrays this conclusion not as a sudden catastrophe but as the culmination of a long series of human decisions. The battle confirms that prophecy functions as a framework within which characters exercise free will, often in ways that bring about the very future they seek to avoid.

Conclusion

Sir Thomas Malory's *Le Morte d'Arthur* presents fate and prophecy as fundamental forces that shape the rise and fall of Camelot. Throughout the narrative, prophetic warnings repeatedly announce future disasters, creating a sense of inevitability that surrounds the kingdom from its very foundation. The predictions concerning Arthur, Mordred, Lancelot, and the final destruction of Camelot establish a framework in which the future appears predetermined long before the tragic events occur.

However, this study has demonstrated that Malory does not portray fate as an irresistible force that completely eliminates human freedom. Instead, prophecy functions as a warning that reveals possible outcomes while leaving individuals responsible for their actions. Arthur's response to the prophecy of Mordred, the failure of key characters to heed Merlin's advice, and the destructive choices made by members of the Round Table all contribute to the fulfillment of the predictions. The tragedy of Camelot emerges not only because the future is foretold but also because the characters repeatedly make decisions that bring the foretold future closer.

Merlin's role further highlights this relationship between destiny and free will. Although he possesses knowledge of future events, he cannot prevent them. His warnings are ignored, misunderstood, or resisted by those who believe they can escape their fate. As a result, prophecy becomes a source of dramatic irony: readers recognize the approaching catastrophe while the characters unknowingly participate in its realization.

The case of Mordred is particularly significant. Arthur's attempt to avoid the prophecy concerning his son ultimately contributes to its fulfillment. This pattern reflects a broader literary tradition in which efforts to escape destiny become the very means through which destiny is achieved. Malory employs this motif to emphasize the limitations of political power, human wisdom, and personal agency in the face of larger historical and moral forces.

At the same time, *Le Morte d'Arthur* does not advocate fatalism. The narrative repeatedly suggests that different choices might have delayed, altered, or mitigated the tragedy. The downfall of Camelot results from the interaction of prophecy and human error rather than from prophecy alone. Fate provides the framework, but individual actions determine the path toward destruction.

Ultimately, Malory's treatment of fate and prophecy transforms the fall of Camelot into a timeless tragedy. The work reminds readers that knowledge of future dangers is meaningless without the wisdom and courage to respond appropriately. Through the story of Arthur and his kingdom, Malory explores one of the most enduring questions in literature: whether human beings control their destiny or merely participate in a future already written. His answer is

complex and nuanced. Fate may reveal the destination, but human choices determine how the journey unfolds. This tension between destiny and free will remains one of the central reasons why *Le Morte d'Arthur* continues to resonate with modern readers and scholars alike.

REFERENCES

1. Malory, Thomas. *Le Morte d'Arthur*. Edited by P. J. C. Field. D. S. Brewer, 2017. <https://boydellandbrewer.com/9781843844162/le-morte-darthur>
2. Malory, Thomas. *Le Morte D'Arthur: The Winchester Manuscript*. Edited by P. J. C. Field. Oxford University Press, 2013. <https://global.oup.com>
3. Vinaver, Eugène, editor. *The Works of Sir Thomas Malory*. 3rd ed., Oxford University Press, 1990. <https://global.oup.com/academic/product/the-works-of-sir-thomas-malory-9780198123441>
4. Benson, Larry D., editor. *Malory's Morte d'Arthur*. Harvard University Press, 1976. <https://www.hup.harvard.edu/books/9780674557753>
5. Field, P. J. C. *The Life and Times of Sir Thomas Malory*. D. S. Brewer, 1993. <https://boydellandbrewer.com/9780851152948/the-life-and-times-of-sir-thomas-malory>
6. Field, P. J. C. *Malory: Texts and Sources*. D. S. Brewer, 2017. <https://boydellandbrewer.com>
7. Archibald, Elizabeth, and A. S. G. Edwards, editors. *A Companion to Malory*. D. S. Brewer, 1996. <https://boydellandbrewer.com/9780859914434/a-companion-to-malory>
8. Lupack, Alan. *The Oxford Guide to Arthurian Literature and Legend*. Oxford University Press, 2005. <https://global.oup.com/academic/product/the-oxford-guide-to-arthurian-literature-and-legend-9780199215091>
9. Lacy, Norris J., editor. *The New Arthurian Encyclopedia*. Routledge, 1996. <https://www.routledge.com/The-New-ArthurianEncyclopedia/Lacy/p/book/9780815323032>
10. Geoffrey of Monmouth. *The History of the Kings of Britain*. Translated by Lewis Thorpe. Penguin Classics, 1966. <https://archive.org/details/historyofkingsof00geof>
11. Chrétien de Troyes. *Arthurian Romances*. Translated by William W. Kibler. Penguin Classics, 1991. <https://www.penguinrandomhouse.com/books/261818/arthurian-romances-by-chretien-de-troyes>
12. Robert de Boron. *Merlin and the Grail*. Translated by Nigel Bryant. D. S. Brewer, 2001. <https://boydellandbrewer.com>
13. Barber, Richard. *The Arthurian Legends*. Boydell Press, 1979. <https://boydellandbrewer.com/9780851157486/the-arthurian-legends>
14. Barber, Richard. *The Holy Grail: Imagination and Belief*. Harvard University Press, 2004. <https://www.hup.harvard.edu>
15. Ashe, Geoffrey. *The Discovery of King Arthur*. Anchor Press, 1985. <https://books.google.com>
16. Ackroyd, Peter. *The Death of King Arthur*. Penguin Books, 2010. <https://www.penguinrandomhouse.com/books/307036/the-death-of-king-arthur-by-peter-ackroyd>

17. Kennedy, Beverly. *Knighthood in the Morte d'Arthur*. D. S. Brewer, 1985. <https://books.google.com/books?id=6d0OAAAAQAAJ>
18. Kaeuper, Richard W. *Chivalry and Violence in Medieval Europe*. Oxford University Press, 1999. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198207300.001.0001>
19. Keen, Maurice. *Chivalry*. Yale University Press, 1984. <https://yalebooks.yale.edu/book/9780300054675/chivalry>
20. Huizinga, Johan. *The Autumn of the Middle Ages*. University of Chicago Press, 1996. <https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226094461.001.0001>
21. Radulescu, Raluca. *The Gentry Context for Malory's Morte d'Arthur*. Boydell Press, 2003. <https://boydellandbrewer.com>
22. Norris, Ralph. *Malory's Library: The Sources of the Morte d'Arthur*. D. S. Brewer, 2008. <https://boydellandbrewer.com>
23. Whetter, K. S. *The Manuscript and Meaning of Malory's Morte d'Arthur*. D. S. Brewer, 2017. <https://boydellandbrewer.com>
24. Hodges, Kenneth. "Malory's Political Imagination." *Arthurian Literature*, vol. 38, 2022, pp. 45–70.
25. Batt, Catherine. "Prison and Knightly Identity in Sir Thomas Malory's Morte d'Arthur." *Arthuriana*, vol. 26, no. 2, 2016, pp. 45–68. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27870603>
26. Cherewatuk, Karen. "Interpreting Pained Bodies in Malory's Morte d'Arthur." *Arthuriana*, vol. 26, no. 3, 2016, pp. 32–51. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26443628>
27. Whetter, K. S. "Malory's Manuscript and the Question of Unity." *Arthurian Literature*, vol. 35, 2019, pp. 1–24.
- [08.06.2026 13:23] Jamshed: 28. Field, P. J. C. "The Winchester Manuscript and Caxton's Edition of Malory's Morte d'Arthur." *Journal of the Early Book Society*, vol. 17, 2014, pp. 145–168.
29. Norris, Ralph. "Malory's Sources and the Grail Quest." *Medium Ævum*, vol. 78, no. 2, 2009, pp. 245–267.
30. Peker, Selin. *The Political and Ethical Implications of the Chivalric Ideal in Sir Thomas Malory's Le Morte d'Arthur*. MA Thesis, Bogazici University, 1997.
31. O'Donoghue, Neil. *The Nature of Evil in Malory's Morte d'Arthur*. PhD Thesis, University of Cambridge, 2001.
32. The Camelot Project. University of Rochester. <https://d.lib.rochester.edu/camelot-project>
33. International Arthurian Society. <https://www.internationalarthuriansociety.com>
34. Middle English Compendium. <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/m/middle-english-dictionary>
35. Cooper, Helen. *The English Romance in Time*. Oxford University Press, 2004. <https://global.oup.com/academic/product/the-english-romance-in-time-9780199264846>
36. Saunders, Corinne, editor. *A Companion to Romance*. Blackwell Publishing, 2004. <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/A+Companion+to+Romance-p-9781405108794>
37. Leitch, Megan G., editor. *Arthurian Literature XXXVII: Malory at 550*. D. S. Brewer, 2022. <https://boydellandbrewer.com>
38. Martin, Molly. *Castles and Space in Malory's Morte d'Arthur*. D. S. Brewer, 2019. <https://boydellandbrewer.com>

39. Martin, Molly. Vision and Gender in Malory's Morte d'Arthur. D. S. Brewer, 2010.
<https://boydellandbrewer.com>

40. Riddy, Felicity. Sir Thomas Malory. Brill, 1987.
<https://brill.com/display/title/17812>