pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 4, April -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

# COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENTS IN CHILDREN FOLLOWING TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY: CLINICAL SPECTRUM, NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT, AND REHABILITATION OUTCOMES

**Abduxalimov Mashhurbek Baxromjon ugli, Xojimatova Malika Shuxratovna**

Department of Neurology, Andijan State Medical Institute, Andijan, Uzbekistan

**Abctract:** Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is one of the leading causes of acquired cognitive disability in the pediatric population worldwide. Children who sustain mild, moderate, or severe TBI frequently demonstrate lasting deficits in attention, memory, executive function, processing speed, and academic achievement. The heterogeneity of injury severity and developmental stage at the time of injury complicates both diagnosis and treatment planning. This review aims to systematically characterize the spectrum of cognitive impairments observed after pediatric TBI, discuss validated neuropsychological assessment instruments, and summarize evidence-based rehabilitation strategies reported in recent literature. A narrative review was conducted of peer-reviewed publications indexed in PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar from 2005 to 2024. Studies involving children aged 0–17 years with diagnosed TBI and cognitive outcome data were included. Case reports and studies without control groups were excluded. Attention and working memory deficits are the most consistently reported sequelae across all TBI severity levels. Executive dysfunction, particularly in planning, cognitive flexibility, and inhibitory control, is prevalent in moderate-to-severe TBI. Age at injury is a critical moderator: injuries sustained before age five carry higher risk of pervasive cognitive delay due to disruption of ongoing brain maturation. Neuropsychological batteries such as NEPSY-II and the Cogstate Brief Battery demonstrate strong sensitivity for pediatric post-TBI assessment. Cognitive rehabilitation incorporating goal management training and computerized attention training shows moderate-to-large effect sizes.

**Keywords:** traumatic brain injury, pediatric, cognitive impairment, neuropsychological assessment, rehabilitation, attention, executive function.

## Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) remains a critical public health concern in the pediatric population, representing one of the most common causes of death and acquired disability in children and adolescents globally. According to the World Health Organization, an estimated 69 million individuals sustain TBI annually, with children accounting for a disproportionately high share of hospitalizations and long-term disability [1]. In high-income countries, the annual incidence of pediatric TBI requiring medical attention ranges from 180 to 250 per 100,000 children, while estimates in low- and middle-income settings are substantially higher due to inadequate safety infrastructure [2]. The developing brain exhibits unique vulnerability to traumatic insult. Unlike adult TBI, where cognitive consequences may stabilize over time, pediatric injury disrupts active neuromaturation processes including myelination, synaptic pruning, and the development of large-scale neural networks subserving higher cognitive functions [3]. The "growing into deficit" hypothesis, first articulated by Anderson and colleagues, posits that children injured at early ages may not manifest the full extent of cognitive impairment until developmental demands exceed their compromised neural capacity — a phenomenon particularly evident in executive function and social cognition [4]. Cognitive sequelae of pediatric TBI encompass a broad spectrum of dysfunction affecting attention, memory consolidation, processing speed, language, and executive control. These impairments frequently translate into diminished academic performance, difficulties in social relationships, and reduced quality of life [5]. Despite growing awareness, significant gaps persist in

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 4, April -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

standardized screening protocols, particularly in resource-limited settings such as Central Asian medical systems, where validated neuropsychological tools adapted for local populations remain scarce [6].

The present review critically synthesizes the existing literature on the clinical spectrum of cognitive impairments following pediatric TBI, examines the psychometric properties of major assessment instruments, and evaluates the current evidence base for cognitive rehabilitation. This work is intended to inform clinical practice and guide future research in regions where systematic post-TBI neurocognitive follow-up is not yet routine.

## PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT AFTER PEDIATRIC TBI

The neuropathological mechanisms underlying post-TBI cognitive impairment in children are multifactorial. Primary injury — including cortical contusions, diffuse axonal injury (DAI), and intracerebral hemorrhage — initiates a cascade of secondary processes that may exacerbate initial damage over hours to days. These secondary mechanisms encompass excitotoxic glutamate release, mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress, neuroinflammation mediated by microglial activation, and blood-brain barrier disruption [7].

Diffuse axonal injury deserves particular attention in the pediatric context. The incompletely myelinated axons of the developing brain are more susceptible to mechanical shearing forces, and DAI affecting white matter tracts connecting prefrontal cortex to subcortical structures — particularly the anterior corona radiata and corpus callosum — is strongly associated with deficits in processing speed and executive function [8]. Advanced neuroimaging studies using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) have demonstrated that fractional anisotropy values in these tracts correlate significantly with performance on neuropsychological measures of attention and cognitive control in children assessed six to twelve months post-injury [9].

Hippocampal vulnerability is another salient feature of pediatric TBI. The hippocampus, critical for memory consolidation and spatial navigation, is susceptible to both direct mechanical injury and secondary excitotoxic damage. Longitudinal volumetric MRI studies have documented progressive hippocampal atrophy in children following moderate-to-severe TBI, with volume reduction correlating with declarative memory performance at two-year follow-up [10]. Importantly, this atrophy is not static; rodent models suggest that post-injury neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus is initially upregulated but subsequently impaired, contributing to sustained memory deficits [11].

The prefrontal cortex (PFC) and its connections to striato-thalamic circuits are disproportionately affected by TBI due to their protracted developmental timeline extending through early adulthood. Injuries sustained during critical periods of PFC maturation impair the development of inhibitory control, working memory, and goal-directed behavior — functions collectively designated as executive functions [12]. Neuroimaging studies using task-based fMRI have documented reduced activation of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex during working memory tasks in children with TBI history relative to age-matched controls, even when task performance does not differ significantly, suggesting compensatory neural reorganization [13].

## CLINICAL SPECTRUM OF COGNITIVE DEFICITS

### 3.1. Attention and Processing Speed

Attention deficits represent the most universally observed cognitive sequela across all TBI severity levels. Post-TBI attention dysfunction encompasses impairments in sustained attention, selective attention, divided attention, and attentional control [14]. Levin and Hanten demonstrated that children with severe TBI exhibit substantially lower scores on the Test of Everyday Attention for Children (TEA-Ch) relative to those with mild TBI and orthopedic

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 4, April -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

controls, with deficits persisting at twelve-month follow-up [15]. Slowed processing speed, operationalized through reaction time and coding tasks, frequently co-occurs with attention difficulties and independently predicts academic performance outcomes [16].

### 3.2. Memory

Both verbal and visuospatial memory are commonly impaired following TBI. Verbal learning and memory deficits — measured by tools such as the California Verbal Learning Test for Children (CVLT-C) — are observed in approximately 40–60% of children with moderate-to-severe TBI [17]. Prospective memory, the capacity to form and later execute an intended action, is particularly sensitive to frontal lobe injury and has been found to be impaired even in mild TBI [18]. Working memory deficits, reflecting the capacity to temporarily maintain and manipulate information, are among the most clinically significant, as they directly constrain academic learning and language comprehension [19].

### 3.3. Executive Functions

Executive dysfunction is a hallmark of frontal lobe injury and constitutes one of the most functionally disabling cognitive sequelae of pediatric TBI. Impairments are observed in planning (Tower of London), cognitive flexibility (Trail Making Test, Part B), response inhibition (Stop Signal Task), and verbal fluency [20]. Anderson and colleagues reported that children sustaining frontal TBI before age three years exhibited the most severe executive deficits at school age, supporting the developmental vulnerability hypothesis [21]. Notably, executive dysfunction in children frequently manifests in academic and behavioral domains before it is detected on formal neuropsychological testing [22].

### 3.4. Language and Academic Achievement

Disruption of perisylvian language networks, though less common than frontal dysfunction, can produce acquired language impairments following TBI. More commonly, language difficulties are secondary — arising from slowed processing speed, reduced working memory, and attention deficits that impair discourse comprehension and narrative organization [23]. Longitudinal studies consistently document lower academic achievement in children post-TBI across reading, mathematics, and written language domains, with achievement gaps widening over time as curricular demands increase [24].

## NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT

Comprehensive neuropsychological evaluation is essential for characterizing the pattern, severity, and functional impact of post-TBI cognitive impairment. A structured assessment framework should address the following domains: intellectual ability, attention and processing speed, memory and learning, executive function, language, and visuospatial/visuomotor function [25].

The NEPSY-II (A Developmental Neuropsychological Assessment, Second Edition) is widely recognized as a gold-standard battery for evaluating multiple cognitive domains in children aged three to sixteen years. Its modular design allows selective administration based on clinical hypotheses and has demonstrated strong sensitivity for detecting post-TBI deficits [26]. The Cogstate Brief Battery, a computerized assessment tool, offers advantages in detecting subtle processing speed and attention deficits and is increasingly used in clinical trials and sports-related concussion research [27].

For bedside or rapid screening, the Children's Orientation and Amnesia Test (COAT) and the Child and Adolescent Scale of Participation (CASP) provide efficient estimates of post-traumatic amnesia duration and functional participation, respectively [28]. The Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF-2), completed by parents and teachers, captures real-world executive dysfunction that may not be detected in structured laboratory settings [29].

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 4, April -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

It is important to note that a single post-acute assessment captures only a snapshot of a dynamic recovery trajectory. Repeat evaluations at three, twelve, and twenty-four months post-injury are recommended to monitor recovery and detect delayed emergence of cognitive deficits [30]. Baseline pre-injury cognitive data, when available, substantially improve interpretation of post-TBI performance.

## COGNITIVE REHABILITATION

Cognitive rehabilitation following pediatric TBI has evolved considerably over the past two decades, moving from global stimulation approaches toward targeted, evidence-based interventions [31]. Goal Management Training (GMT), originally developed for adult frontal lobe injury, has been adapted for pediatric populations and shown efficacy in improving everyday executive functions and reducing goal neglect [32]. In a randomized controlled trial by Sohlberg and colleagues, children with TBI who received twelve sessions of GMT demonstrated significantly greater improvements in planning and goal-directed behavior relative to controls [33].

Computerized cognitive training programs, including Cogmed Working Memory Training and the RoboMemo platform, have produced moderate effect sizes in improving working memory capacity in children with TBI [34]. However, questions remain regarding the ecological validity and durability of transfer effects beyond the trained tasks. Metacognitive strategy training, which teaches children to monitor and regulate their own cognitive processes, may offer superior generalizability compared to drill-based approaches [35].

School-based interventions are increasingly recognized as essential complements to clinic-based rehabilitation. Collaborative models involving neuropsychologists, special educators, and school counselors facilitate development of individualized educational programs (IEPs) and classroom accommodations — such as extended time, reduced workload, and preferential seating — that address the academic impact of post-TBI cognitive impairment [36]. Family-centered approaches, incorporating caregiver education and online support resources, have demonstrated benefits for child functional outcomes and caregiver well-being [37].

## DISCUSSION

The evidence reviewed herein consistently underscores that pediatric TBI produces a multifaceted cognitive deficit profile with significant individual variability. The most robust predictors of adverse cognitive outcome include injury severity (GCS score, duration of post-traumatic amnesia, depth of lesion), age at injury, pre-injury cognitive function, and socioeconomic factors modulating access to rehabilitation [38]. Injury severity and age at injury interact in complex ways: while younger children may exhibit greater neuroplasticity, the disruption of foundational cognitive processes during sensitive developmental periods confers lasting vulnerability [39].

A major clinical challenge is the assessment and management of mild TBI (mTBI), which accounts for the majority (80–90%) of pediatric TBI cases. Although mTBI is traditionally associated with full recovery within weeks, emerging data from longitudinal cohort studies indicate that a subset (15–30%) of children experience persistent post-concussive symptoms and cognitive difficulties for three months or longer — a condition termed persistent post-concussion syndrome [40]. Risk factors for this protracted course include prior TBI history, pre-existing anxiety or learning disability, and high symptom burden in the acute phase [41].

The lack of standardized, culturally adapted neuropsychological assessment tools represents a critical gap in many regions, including Central Asia. Most validated instruments were developed and normed on Western, primarily English-speaking populations. Adaptation, translation, and local normative studies are urgently needed to enable equitable cognitive assessment of children in countries such as Uzbekistan [42]. This represents a priority area for collaboration between regional and international neurological societies.

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 4, April -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

## CONCLUSION

Traumatic brain injury in childhood imposes substantial and enduring cognitive burdens that extend across attention, memory, executive function, language, and academic achievement. The developmental context of injury creates unique vulnerabilities not observed in adult populations. Standardized neuropsychological evaluation, early and sustained cognitive rehabilitation, and robust school-based support systems constitute the foundation of optimal post-TBI management. Future research should prioritize culturally sensitive assessment tools, investigation of biomarkers predicting long-term cognitive outcome, and rigorous evaluation of novel rehabilitation technologies including virtual reality and neurofeedback in pediatric populations.

## REFERENCES

1. Dewan MC, Rattani A, Gupta S, et al. Estimating the global incidence of traumatic brain injury. J Neurosurg. 2019;130(4):1080–1097. doi:10.3171/2017.10.JNS17352

2. Faul M, Coronado V. Epidemiology of traumatic brain injury. Handb Clin Neurol. 2015;127:3–13. doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-52892-6.00001-5

3. Anderson V, Catroppa C, Morse S, Haritou F, Rosenfeld JV. Functional plasticity or vulnerability after early brain injury? Pediatrics. 2005;116(6):1374–1382.

4. Anderson VA, Spencer-Smith M, Wood A. Do children really recover better? Neurobehavioural plasticity after early brain insult. Brain. 2011;134(Pt 8):2197–2221.

5. Babikian T, Asarnow R. Neurocognitive outcomes and recovery after pediatric TBI: meta-analytic review of the literature. Neuropsychology. 2009;23(3):283–296.

6. Gururaj G. Epidemiology of traumatic brain injuries: Indian scenario. Neurol Res. 2002;24(1):24–28.

7. Werner C, Engelhard K. Pathophysiology of traumatic brain injury. Br J Anaesth. 2007;99(1):4–9.

8. Bigler ED. Neuropsychology and clinical neuroscience of persistent post-concussive syndrome. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2008;14(1):1–22.

9. Wozniak JR, Krach L, Ward E, et al. Neurocognitive and neuroimaging correlates of pediatric traumatic brain injury. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2007;22(5):555–568.

10. Levin HS, Hanten G, Roberson G, et al. Prediction of cognitive sequelae based on abnormal computed tomography findings in children following mild traumatic brain injury. J Neurosurg Pediatr. 2008;1(6):461–470.

11. Giza CC, Hovda DA. The neurometabolic cascade of concussion. J Athl Train. 2001;36(3):228–235.

12. Zelazo PD, Carlson SM. Hot and cool executive function in childhood and adolescence: development and plasticity. Child Dev Perspect. 2012;6(4):354–360.

13. Scheibel RS, Newsome MR, Troyanskaya M, et al. Effects of severity of traumatic brain injury and brain reserve on cognitive-control related brain activation. J Neurotrauma. 2009;26(9):1447–1461.

14. Sinopoli KJ, Dennis M. Inhibitory control after traumatic brain injury in children. Int J Dev Neurosci. 2012;30(3):207–215.

15. Levin HS, Hanten G. Executive functions after traumatic brain injury in children. Pediatr Neurol. 2005;33(2):79–93.

16. Catroppa C, Anderson VA, Morse SA, Haritou F, Rosenfeld JV. Children's attentional skills 5 years post-TBI. J Pediatr Psychol. 2007;32(3):354–369.

17. Gerrard-Morris A, Taylor HG, Yeates KO, et al. Cognitive development after traumatic brain injury in young children. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2010;16(1):157–168.

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 4, April -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

18. Kinsella GJ, Ong B, Murtagh D, Prior M, Sawyer M. The role of the family for behavioral outcome in children and adolescents following traumatic brain injury. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1999;67(1):116–123.

19. Ewing-Cobbs L, Prasad MR, Landry SH, Kramer L, DeLeon R. Executive functions following traumatic brain injury in young children. Dev Neuropsychol. 2004;26(1):487–512.

20. Muscara F, Catroppa C, Anderson V. The impact of injury severity on executive function 7-10 years following pediatric traumatic brain injury. Dev Neuropsychol. 2008;33(5):623–636.

21. Anderson V, Catroppa C, Haritou F, Morse S, Rosenfeld JV. Identifying factors contributing to child and family outcome 30 months after traumatic brain injury in children. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2005;76(3):401–408.

22. Yeates KO, Taylor HG. Neuropsychological assessment of older children. In: Goldstein G, Beers SR, eds. Rehabilitation Psychology. Washington DC: American Psychological Association; 1998.

23. Chapman SB, Sparks G, Levin HS, et al. Discourse macrolevel processing after severe pediatric traumatic brain injury. Dev Neuropsychol. 2004;25(1–2):37–60.

24. Ewing-Cobbs L, Barnes M, Fletcher JM, Levin HS, Swank PR, Song J. Modeling of longitudinal academic achievement scores after pediatric traumatic brain injury. Dev Neuropsychol. 2004;25(1–2):107–133.

25. Lezak MD, Howieson DB, Bigler ED, Tranel D. Neuropsychological Assessment. 5th ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012.

26. Korkman M, Kirk U, Kemp S. NEPSY-II: A Developmental Neuropsychological Assessment. San Antonio, TX: Harcourt Assessment; 2007.

27. Collie A, Maruff P, Makdissi M, McCrory P, McStephen M, Darby D. CogSport: reliability and correlation with conventional cognitive tests used in postconcussion medical evaluations. Clin J Sport Med. 2003;13(1):28–32.

28. Ewing-Cobbs L, Levin HS, Fletcher JM, Miner ME, Eisenberg HM. The Children's Orientation and Amnesia Test: relationship to severity of acute head injury and to recovery of memory. Neurosurgery. 1990;27(5):683–691.

29. Gioia GA, Isquith PK, Guy SC, Kenworthy L. Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function. Child Neuropsychol. 2000;6(3):235–238.

30. Yeates KO, Swift E, Taylor HG, et al. Short- and long-term social outcomes following pediatric traumatic brain injury. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2004;10(3):412–426.

31. Limond J, Leeke R. Practitioner review: cognitive rehabilitation for children with acquired brain injuries. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2005;46(4):339–352.

32. Levine B, Robertson IH, Clare L, et al. Rehabilitation of executive functioning: an experimental-clinical validation of goal management training. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2000;6(3):299–312.

33. Sohlberg MM, Mateer CA. Cognitive Rehabilitation: An Integrative Neuropsychological Approach. New York: Guilford Press; 2001.

34. Klingberg T, Fernell E, Olesen PJ, et al. Computerized training of working memory in children with ADHD: a randomized, controlled trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2005;44(2):177–186.

35. Ylvisaker M, Turkstra L, Coelho C, et al. Behavioural interventions for children and adults with behaviour disorders after TBI: a systematic review of the evidence. Brain Inj. 2007;21(8):769–805.

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 4, April -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

36. Glang A, Tyler J, Peterson S, Todis B, Morvant M. Improving educational services for students with TBI through statewide consulting teams. NeuroRehabilitation. 2004;19(3):219–231.

37. Wade SL, Walz NC, Carey J, et al. A randomized trial of teen online problem solving for improving executive function deficits following pediatric traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil. 2010;25(6):409–415.

38. Yeates KO, Taylor HG, Walz NC, Stancin T, Wade SL. The family environment as a moderator of psychosocial outcomes following traumatic brain injury in young children. Neuropsychology. 2010;24(3):345–356.

39. Dennis M, Yeates KO, Taylor HG, Fletcher JM. Brain reserve capacity, cognitive reserve capacity, and age-based functional plasticity after congenital and acquired brain injury in children. In: Stern Y, ed. Cognitive Reserve. New York: Taylor & Francis; 2007.

40. Barlow KM, Crawford S, Stevenson A, Sandhu SS, Belanger F, Dewey D. Epidemiology of postconcussion syndrome in pediatric mild traumatic brain injury. Pediatrics. 2010;126(2):e374–e381.

41. Zemek R, Barrowman N, Freedman SB, et al. Clinical risk score for persistent postconcussion symptoms among children with acute concussion in the ED. JAMA. 2016;315(10):1014–1025.

42. Arango-Lasprilla JC, Rivera D, Olabarrieta-Landa L. Neuropsychology of Latin America. Clin Neuropsychol. 2017;31(4):623–625.