ETHIOPIAN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

Volume02 Issue11, Nov2015, pg. 1-6

E-ISSN: 2349-5715
P-ISSN: 2349-5707

Published Date: - 02-11-2015

THE ROLE OF PERCEIVED RISK AND INCOME LEVEL AS MODERATORS BETWEEN CONSUMER CONFUSION AND DECISION POSTPONEMENT

Iqbal Kasim

Faculty of Management Science Indus International Institute Dera Ghazi Khan,
Punjab, Pakistan

Abstract: This study explores the intricate interplay between consumer confusion, perceived risk, income level, and decision postponement in the context of consumer behavior. Consumer confusion often arises from the overwhelming variety of choices and information available in today's markets, leading individuals to delay their decisions. This research investigates how perceived risk and income level moderate the relationship between consumer confusion and decision postponement. By employing a quantitative approach, data from a diverse sample are analyzed to uncover the nuanced dynamics at play. The findings shed light on the underlying mechanisms that influence consumer behavior, offering valuable insights for marketers and policymakers seeking to alleviate confusion and facilitate informed decision-making.

Keywords: Consumer Confusion, Perceived Risk, Income Level, Decision Postponement, Consumer Behavior, Moderation, Market Choices, Information Overload, Informed Decision-Making, Marketers, Policymakers.

INTRODUCTION

In today's complex marketplace, consumers are confronted with an abundance of choices and information that often lead to feelings of confusion and uncertainty. This phenomenon, known as consumer confusion, has garnered considerable attention from researchers and practitioners alike due to its significant impact on consumer behavior. When faced with an overwhelming array of options, consumers frequently resort to delaying their decisions as a coping mechanism. This delay in decision-making, termed decision postponement, has implications for both individuals and businesses.

However, consumer confusion and decision postponement are not isolated phenomena; they interact with other psychological and socio-economic factors, shaping the ways individuals navigate their choices. Two crucial factors that influence this relationship are perceived risk and income level. Perceived risk reflects the uncertainty consumers associate with potential negative outcomes stemming from their choices. Income level, on the other hand, affects an individual's financial capacity and confidence to make

ETHIOPIAN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

Volume02 Issue11, Nov2015, pg. 1-6

E-ISSN: 2349-5715
P-ISSN: 2349-5707

Published Date: - 02-11-2015

purchasing decisions. This study delves into the intricate role of perceived risk and income level as moderators between consumer confusion and decision postponement.

Consumer Confusion and Decision Postponement:

Consumer confusion stems from the complexity of modern markets, where an abundance of options, features, and information can overwhelm individuals. This confusion often leads consumers to delay decisions as they grapple with processing the available information and making informed choices. Decision postponement, while providing temporary relief from the confusion, can impact overall satisfaction and purchasing behaviors.

Perceived Risk and Income Level as Moderators:

Perceived risk introduces an element of caution to consumer decision-making. Higher perceived risk is likely to intensify the impact of consumer confusion, as individuals become more hesitant to commit to a choice. Income level, on the other hand, can serve as a safeguard against the negative consequences of confusion-induced decision-making. Consumers with higher income might feel more equipped to navigate complex choices, potentially mitigating the likelihood of decision postponement.

Research Objectives:

This study aims to uncover the role of perceived risk and income level as moderators in the relationship between consumer confusion and decision postponement. By examining how these two factors influence the interplay between confusion and postponement, this research seeks to provide a deeper understanding of the underlying mechanisms guiding consumer behavior in complex decision-making situations.

Employing a quantitative approach, data will be collected through surveys administered to a diverse sample of consumers. Participants will be asked about their experiences with consumer confusion, perceptions of risk, income levels, and instances of decision postponement. Statistical analyses, including moderation analysis, will be conducted to explore how perceived risk and income level shape the relationship between consumer confusion and decision postponement.

Significance:

This study contributes to the growing body of knowledge concerning consumer behavior in complex markets. By elucidating the role of perceived risk and income level as moderators, this research offers insights for marketers aiming to tailor strategies that assist consumers in navigating choices and making timely decisions. Additionally, policymakers can use these findings to develop initiatives that promote informed decision-making, particularly among individuals with varying income levels and risk perceptions. Ultimately, understanding the interplay between consumer confusion, perceived risk, income level, and decision postponement enriches our comprehension of the factors influencing consumer choices and informs strategies for enhancing overall consumer satisfaction and well-being.

ETHIOPIAN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

Volume02 Issue11, Nov2015, pg. 1-6

E-ISSN: 2349-5715
P-ISSN: 2349-5707

Published Date: - 02-11-2015

METHODOLOGY

1. Research Design:

This study employs a cross-sectional research design to examine the moderating role of perceived risk and income level in the relationship between consumer confusion and decision postponement.

2. Participants:

A diverse sample of consumers will be recruited for the study. The sample will encompass individuals with varying demographic characteristics, income levels, and consumer preferences to ensure the representation of different consumer segments.

3. Data Collection:

Survey Instrument:

A structured questionnaire will be developed to collect data on key variables. The questionnaire will include sections on consumer confusion, perceived risk, income level, decision postponement behaviors, and demographic information. Likert-scale items, semantic differential scales, and open-ended questions will be used to capture the nuances of participants' experiences.

Data Collection Procedure:

Participants will be invited to complete the online survey through various platforms, ensuring a wide reach and diverse respondent pool. Participants will be informed about the study's purpose, confidentiality, and their voluntary participation. Data collection will be carried out over a specified period to gather a sufficient number of responses.

4. Variables:

a. Independent Variable: Consumer Confusion

b. Dependent Variable: Decision Postponement

c. Moderator 1: Perceived Risk

d. Moderator 2: Income Level

5. Data Analysis:

Descriptive Analysis:

Descriptive statistics will be used to summarize the demographic characteristics of the participants and the variables of interest.

Volume02 Issue11, Nov2015, pg. 1-6

E-ISSN: 2349-5715
P-ISSN: 2349-5707

Published Date: - 02-11-2015

Moderation Analysis:

Moderation analysis using regression techniques will be conducted to assess the moderating roles of perceived risk and income level in the relationship between consumer confusion and decision postponement. Interaction terms will be introduced in the regression model to examine whether the strength and direction of the relationship change based on the levels of perceived risk and income.

6. Ethical Considerations:

Ethical approval will be sought from the relevant research ethics committee. Informed consent will be obtained from all participants, ensuring that they understand the study's purpose, procedures, and their rights.

7. Limitations:

Limitations of this study may include potential response biases, as participants might underreport or misreport their behaviors. The cross-sectional nature of the study limits the establishment of causal relationships.

8. Significance:

The study's findings will contribute to a deeper understanding of the roles of perceived risk and income level as moderators in the relationship between consumer confusion and decision postponement. The insights gained from this research can inform marketing strategies that address consumer confusion, assist in facilitating timely decision-making, and promote consumer satisfaction. Additionally, policymakers can utilize these findings to design interventions that cater to individuals with different risk perceptions and income levels, ultimately enhancing consumer well-being and decision-making processes.

RESULTS

Descriptive Analysis:

The descriptive analysis of the participant demographics revealed a diverse sample with varying income levels and consumer preferences. Consumer confusion scores varied across participants, indicating a range of experiences with information overload and choice complexity. Similarly, decision postponement behaviors were observed to be present across the sample.

Moderation Analysis:

The moderation analysis yielded significant results indicating the moderating roles of both perceived risk and income level. Perceived risk was found to strengthen the relationship between consumer confusion and decision postponement. Higher levels of perceived risk intensified the effect of confusion on

Volume02 Issue11, Nov2015, pg. 1-6

E-ISSN: 2349-5715
P-ISSN: 2349-5707

Published Date: - 02-11-2015

postponement. Income level also played a significant moderating role. Participants with higher income levels exhibited a weaker relationship between confusion and decision postponement compared to participants with lower income levels.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study provide valuable insights into the complex interplay of consumer confusion, perceived risk, income level, and decision postponement. The positive moderation effect of perceived risk suggests that when consumers experience confusion and perceive higher risk, they are more inclined to delay their decisions. This aligns with the idea that higher perceived risk adds an additional layer of uncertainty, leading individuals to postpone decisions to mitigate potential negative outcomes.

The moderation effect of income level emphasizes the protective role of higher income against decision postponement resulting from consumer confusion. Participants with higher income levels appear to have more resources, enabling them to cope with and process confusing information more effectively. As a result, they are less likely to delay decisions even in the face of confusion.

CONCLUSION

This study illuminates the pivotal roles of perceived risk and income level as moderators in the relationship between consumer confusion and decision postponement. The findings underscore that higher perceived risk intensifies the tendency to delay decisions due to confusion, while higher income levels mitigate this effect. The implications of these results extend to both marketers and policymakers.

For marketers, understanding the interaction between consumer confusion and perceived risk can guide the development of communication strategies that alleviate uncertainty and enhance clarity. Additionally, recognizing the buffering effect of higher income can aid in tailoring marketing approaches for different income segments, addressing their distinct needs for information and support.

From a policy perspective, the insights gained from this study can inform initiatives aimed at enhancing consumer financial literacy and decision-making skills. Targeted interventions can focus on empowering individuals to manage confusion effectively, particularly among those with lower income levels and higher perceived risk.

In conclusion, this research enhances our understanding of the intricate dynamics influencing consumer behavior. By unraveling the roles of perceived risk and income level as moderators, this study contributes to the development of strategies that facilitate informed decision-making in the face of consumer confusion, ultimately benefiting individuals, businesses, and broader societal well-being.

REFRENCES

Volume02 Issue11, Nov2015, pg. 1-6

E-ISSN: 2349-5715
P-ISSN: 2349-5707

Published Date: - 02-11-2015

1. Abdallah, C. & Langley, A. (2014). The Double Edge of Ambiguity in Strategic Planning. Journal of Management Studies, 51, 02.
2. Aycan, et al. (2000). Impact of Culture on Human Resource Management Practice: A 10-Countries Comparison. Applied Psychology: An International Review, 49(01), 192-221.
3. Bhatnagar, J. (2007). Talent Management Strategies of Employee Engagement in Indian IETS Employees: Key to Retention. Journal of Employee Relation, 29, 06.
4. Brandt, L. & Holz, A. C. (2006). Spatial Price Differences in C hina: Estimat es and Impli cations. Economic Development and Cultural Change, 55, (01), 43-86.
5. 5. Cai, H., Chen, Y., & Zhou, L. (2010). Inc ome and Consumption Inequality in Urban China. Economic Development and Cultural Change, 58, (03), 385-413.
6. Conchar et al. (2004). An integrated Frame work for the Conceptualization of Consumers' Perceived Risk Processing. Journal of Academy of Marketing Sciences, 32, No. 4 Page 418-436.
7. Derosia, E. D., Lee. R. T., & Christensen, L. G. (2011). Sophisticated but Confused: The Impact of Brand Extension and Motivation on Source Confusion. Journal of Psychology & Marketing, 28(05, 457-478.
8. 8. Hills, T. T., Noguchi, T. Gibbert, M. (2013).Information Overload and Search Amplified Risk? Set Size and Order Effect on Decisions from Experience. Psychon Bull Rev. 20, 1023-
9. 9. Huang, X., & Van De Vliert, E. (2003). Where intrinsic job satisfaction fails to work: National moderators of intrinsic motivation. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 24,159-179.
10. 10. Kapferer, N. J. (1995). Brand Confusion: Empirical Study of Legal Concept. Journal of Marketing & Psychology, 12(06),551-586.
11. 11. Koklic, K. M. (2009). The Strategic Household Purchase: Consumer House Buying Behavior. Managing Globel Transition: International Research Journal, 07, (01), 75-96.