

ANALYSIS OF ADJECTIVE WORDS IN GERMAN

Igamova Dildorakhan Nazirovna

ADTI, Senior Lecturer Of The Department of Foreign Languages

Abstract:The German language is renowned for its rich vocabulary and intricate grammar. Adjectives hold a significant place in the German language, adding depth and nuance to sentences. This article aims to delve into the analysis of German adjectives, exploring their usage, placement, and variations.

Keywords:Role, compounds structure, words, forming, vocabulary, language

Introduction:Adjectives in the German language play a crucial role in providing detail and description to nouns. They undergo changes in form based on the gender, case, and number of the noun they modify. The use of adjectives in German is nuanced and requires a deep understanding of the language's grammar and syntax.

In German, adjectives change their form depending on the gender of the noun they modify. For example, the adjective "beautiful" can be translated as "schön" for masculine nouns, "schöne" for feminine nouns, and "schönes" for neuter nouns. This gender-specific variation adds a layer of complexity to the usage of adjectives in German.

Additionally, adjectives in German also change based on the case of the noun they modify. The four cases in German – nominative, accusative, dative, and genitive – each require specific forms of adjectives to maintain grammatical correctness. This intricate interplay of cases and adjectives presents a unique challenge to learners of the German language.

Furthermore, adjectives in German adapt their form when modifying plural nouns. This adaptation often involves adding an "-en" or "-e" ending to the base form of the adjective. Understanding these plural forms is essential in constructing grammatically accurate sentences in German.

While the analysis of adjective words in German may seem perplexing at first, approaching it with a systematic mindset can simplify the learning process. By breaking down the various forms and rules governing adjectives, learners can gradually grasp the intricacies of their usage.

Learning to navigate the complexities of adjective words in German can be likened to unlocking a puzzle – each piece fitting together to form a coherent and expressive language. Embracing the challenge of mastering German adjectives can lead to a deeper appreciation of the language's rich structure and linguistic diversity.

A simple speculation regarding semantic consequences of parts in on line compound processing is that semantically obvious compounds ought to have a processing benefit over opaques ones, considering that their that means can be accessed by using their constituent meanings. In research investigating this hypothesis, there has been a tendency of discovering semantic transparency results on processing instances when the latter is conceptualized from a compositional as an alternative than relatedness perspective.

In research no longer explicitly making this distinction, effects have been pretty inconsistent throughout special tasks: While some research discovered processing blessings for obvious compounds. This demonstrates that a complete definition and conceptualization of semantic transparency in its unique components is imperative in empirical investigations of semantic results in compound processing and comprehension.

In German it is feasible to create new phrases via combining two different words. To study extra about compounds in German language, have a seem to be at the chapter about compound nouns in German.

berufstätig, compound of "der Beruf" und "tätig" (employed)

umweltfreundlich, compound of "die Umwelt" und "freundlich" (eco-friendly)

The 2d phrase of these combos is referred to as the "primary word", as it determines the gender and variety of a compound.

The prefix simply capacity before, so some thing will be proper in the front of the easy adjective. Prefixes are like brief syllables delivered to a word. This are simply some examples.

ab - artig (abnormal)

un - bequem (uncomfortable)

wider - sprüchlich (contradictory)

zer - legt (dissambled)

ur - alt (age-old)

grund - ehrlich (thoroughly honest)

Besides the easy adjectives there are additionally multiplied adjectives, which can be generated by:

adding a seperable prefix

transforming a verb (verbal root)

transforming a noun (nominal root)

transforming an adverb (adverbial root)

transforming every other adjective (adjectival root)

adding a seperable suffix

Most research on compound processing in speech manufacturing factor to morpheme-based representations at minimally one lexical level. Whether this additionally holds for the manufacturing of semantically opaque compounds has now not been examined due to the fact most research manipulate the semantic transparency on the distractors, now not on the ambitions for manufacturing. Data from the implicit priming paradigm, analyzing the manufacturing of Dutch derived nouns, however, factor to decomposition for opaque forms, too (Roelofs and Baayen, 2002).

An early find out about on German used semantically obvious and opaque compound distractors, and easy nouns as goals for photograph naming. Morphological facilitation came about irrespective of the semantic transparency of the distractors. Morphological consequences in speech manufacturing have been disentangled from basically phonological effects, due to the fact pseudo-complex, monomorphemic distractors (e.g., Neurose [neurosis]), as distractor to a image of a rose) caused notably much less facilitation than compound distractors.

Note that these research investigated the interface between manufacturing (of easy words) and comprehension (of complicated words), instead than compound production. This used to be special in a picture-word interference find out about through Lüttmann et al. who used compounds as objectives and distractors, which overlapped in the 2nd morpheme (e.g., distractor: carpetbag, target: handbag). Picture naming was once facilitated with the aid of morphologically associated distractors, and outcomes have been comparable for semantically obvious and opaque distractors (e.g., distractor: scumbag, target: handbag).

Note that the production of semantically opaque compounds/complex phrases used to be now not investigated in this study. This used to be finished by using Roelofs the usage of complicated phrases beginning with a preposition. He used the implicit priming paradigm, in which units of phrases are produced inside one trial. Comparing trials with and except onset overlap, speech training results have been greater when the overlap constituted a morpheme (as in byline, bypass, bylaw) instead than purely a syllable (bible, bypass, biker). Note that this impact held even when the units covered semantically opaque complicated words.

Another way to inspect the techniques underlying compound manufacturing is to manipulate constituent and full-form frequency. Roelofs, with the implicit priming paradigm, stated morpheme-based frequency consequences in Dutch compound production, and argued for morpheme-based representations of compounds.

In contrast, Janssen et al. found frequency effects² for the compounds' full forms, now not for constituent morphemes, which they interpreted as proof for holistic representations of compounds at the word-form level.

Furthermore, proof from aphasia basically factors to decomposed lexical structure representations in speech production. In desire of decomposition are particular difficulties in the naming of compounds in contrast to matched easy nouns, such as greater blunders with compounds than easy nouns, and constituent blunders with compound pursuits in photo naming.

In simplifications, for example, one constituent of the compound goal is retrieved, whilst lexical get entry to to the different constituent is blocked (e.g., "butter and something..." in response to butterfly). Thus, simplifications and different sorts of constituent blunders in compound naming mirror sensitivity to the morphological structure of the target.

According to the two-stage model, syntactic facets of a noun, which include grammatical gender, are saved at the lemma level. Because single, holistic lemmas are assumed for compounds, retrieval of grammatical gender data ought to no longer range between compounds and easy nouns, or between same-gender and different-gender noun-noun compounds. This model, therefore, predicts that the gender or different syntactic points of non-head (modifier) components need to be inappropriate in compound manufacturing

Conclusion: The analysis of German adjectives reveals the complexity and precision that characterize the language. Understanding the different types of adjectives, their placement, and variations is crucial for achieving fluency and accuracy in communication. Adjectives provide color and vividness to German sentences, enabling speakers to express themselves with clarity and depth. Learning and mastering the rules and variations of adjectives in German is a worthwhile endeavor for anyone wishing to explore the beauty of the language and effectively convey their thoughts and ideas.

References:

1. Hentschel, E. (2010). Deutsche Grammatik (Hrsg.). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
2. Pons Online-Wörterbuch (n.d.). Retrieved June 7, 2014, from <http://de.pons.com/>.
3. Merriam-Webster's collegiate dictionary (n.d.). Retrieved June 4, 2014, from <http://www.merriam-webster.com/>.
4. Institut für Deutsche Sprache (2013). Retrieved May 14, 2014 from <http://www.ids-mannheim.de/org/>.
5. Institut für Deutsche Sprache (2012). Cosmas II Corpus. Retrieved April 30, 2014 from <http://www.ids-mannheim.de/cosmas2/>.