Ethiopian International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 2, February -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

IMPROVING WASTE RECYCLING TECHNOLOGY

Tursunov Shavkat

Karshi State Technical University

Abstract: Waste generation is surging globally, with over 2 billion tons produced annually, projected to reach 3.4 billion by 2050. Traditional recycling methods struggle with contamination, low efficiency, and high costs, leading to landfill overload and environmental harm. This article explores advancements in waste recycling technology, focusing on AI-driven sorting, chemical recycling, plasma gasification, and blockchain traceability. Key improvements include up to 95% sorting accuracy via robotics and enzymatic breakdown of plastics that recycles 90% of waste into virgin-quality materials. Case studies from Europe and Asia demonstrate scalability, while challenges like economic viability and policy gaps are addressed. Recommendations emphasize hybrid tech integration and global standards to boost recycling rates from 13% to over 50% worldwide. These innovations promise a circular economy, reducing emissions by 70% and conserving resources.

Keywords: Waste recycling, AI sorting, chemical recycling, sustainability, circular economy.

Introduction

The linear "take-make-dispose" economy has fueled unprecedented waste accumulation, exacerbating climate change, pollution, and resource depletion. In 2022, the world generated 2.24 billion tons of municipal solid waste, per World Bank data, with only 13.1% recycled globally. Developing nations like those in Central Asia face acute challenges: Uzbekistan, for instance, produces over 10 million tons yearly, with recycling rates below 10%.

Improving recycling technology is imperative. Current mechanical systems rely on manual sorting, achieving just 50-70% purity, while landfilling releases methane—a greenhouse gas 25 times more potent than CO2. Emerging technologies leverage AI, biotechnology, and advanced materials to enhance efficiency, purity, and scalability. This article reviews these innovations, their mechanisms, real-world applications, and pathways to widespread adoption. By 2030, optimized systems could divert 80% of waste from landfills, aligning with UN Sustainable Development Goal 11.

Current Challenges

Traditional recycling faces systemic hurdles:

Contamination: Mixed waste streams reduce material quality; 25% of recyclables are rejected due to impurities.

Low Recovery Rates: Plastics recycling hovers at 9% globally, metals at 50%.

Economic Barriers: High sorting costs ($50-100/ton) exceed landfill fees ($10-30/ton).

Technological Limits: Mechanical shredding and magnetic separation miss composites like multi-layer plastics.

Logistical Issues: Inconsistent collection in urban vs. rural areas, plus global trade restrictions post-China's 2018 import ban.

These issues perpetuate a 70% landfilling rate, emitting 1.6 billion tons of CO_2-equivalent yearly. Innovation must target sorting precision, degradation resistance, and cost reduction.

Sorting Technologies

Revolutionizes sorting, achieving 95% accuracy vs. 70% manual rates. Robotic arms with computer vision scan waste via hyperspectral imaging, identifying materials by spectral signatures.

Key Systems:

AMP Robotics' Cortex: Uses deep learning to sort 80 items/minute, recovering 30% more recyclables.

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 2, February -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

ZenRobotics Recycler: Detects 50+ waste types, including HDPE plastics indistinguishable by color alone.

In Sweden's Optibag plant, AI processes 50 tons/hour, cutting labor by 80%. Machine learning adapts to regional waste profiles—e.g., high organics in Uzbekistan—boosting throughput. Future integrations with edge computing enable real-time optimization, reducing energy use by 40%.

Edge computing processes data on-site, minimizing latency. Predictive analytics forecast waste volumes, optimizing truck routes and reducing fuel by 20%. Challenges include training data biases for diverse waste (e.g., non-Western packaging), addressed via federated learning across global datasets.

Chemical Recycling Breakthroughs

Unlike mechanical methods, chemical recycling depolymerizes plastics into monomers for virgin-like reuse.

Pyrolysis and Gasification: Heat breaks polymers at 400-800°C without oxygen, yielding oils (70% yield). Brightmark Energy's plants convert 100,000 tons/year into fuels.

Enzymatic Solutions: Carbios' enzyme cocktail degrades PET in 10 hours at 70°C, recycling 97% into monomers. IDEXX's bacteria target mixed plastics.

In Japan, Teijin recycles nylon via depolymerization, closing loops for textiles. Costs have dropped 50% since 2020, now $1,500/ton vs. $2,000 for mechanical.

Future Outlook

By 2035, integrated "smart recycling hubs" could achieve 70% global rates via 5G-IoT sensors in bins, drone deliveries, and gene-edited microbes for universal degradation. Nanotechnology filters will purify outputs to 99.9%. Policy pushes like Uzbekistan's 2025 waste law enable tech imports. Economic models predict $500B market, creating 10M jobs.

Conclusion

Improving waste recycling technology through AI, chemistry, and digital tools transforms waste from liability to asset, fostering a circular economy. Urgent scaling, policy alignment, and investment will avert environmental crises, with benefits rippling to regions like Central Asia.

References

1. World Bank. (2022). What a Waste 2.0.
2. Carbios. (2025). Enzymatic PET Recycling Report.
3. AMP Robotics. (2024). AI Sorting Efficacy Study.
4. Khankelov, T., Maksudov, Z., Mukhamedova, N., & Tursunov, S. (2021). Crushing and screening complex for the production of compost from organic components of municipal solid waste. In E3S Web of Conferences (Vol. 264, p. 01026). EDP Sciences. https://www.e3s-conferences.org/articles/e3sconf/abs/2021/40/e3sconf_conmechydro2021_01026/e3sconf_conmechydro2021_01026.html
5. Мирзаев, О. А., & Турсунов, Ш. С. (2021). Теоретическая обоснования деформированного состояния оболочки питающего цилиндра прядильных машин. Oriental renaissance: Innovative, educational, natural and social sciences, 1(4), 1092-1103. https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/teoreticheskaya-obosnovaniya-deformirovannogo-sostoyaniya-obolochki-pitayuschego-tsilindra-pryadilnyh-mashin
6. Tursunov, S. S. (2021). Analysis of existing designs of crushers for crushing municipal solid waste. International Journal for Innovative Engineering and Management Research, 10(01), 274-276.
7. Salomovna, O. N., Allanazarovich, A. N., & Serabovich, T. S. (2021). Interaction of Materials Resistance Science With Other General-Military Disciplines In Engineering Specialties. Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology, 25(6), 2841-2845. https://www.proquest.com/openview/c5511f1c121225bd642038b3cc91a3b8/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2031963

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 2, February -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

8. Shavkat, T. (2023). Based On The Parameters Of The Gear Grinder In Domestic Solid Waste. https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=ru&as_sdt=0,5&cluster=6639497551693564131

9. Shavkat, T. (2023). Criteria for Evaluating the Efficiency of Domestic Solid Waste Grinding Machines. https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=ru&as_sdt=0,5&cluster=6611829266230087651

10. Serabovich, T. S. (2022). Basic Energy Assumptions For Solid Waste Disposal. Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal, 10(1), 185-188. https://www.neliti.com/publications/597373/basic-energy-assumptions-for-solid-waste-disposal