Ethiopian International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 1, January -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

ACCOUNTING FOR ASSET IMPAIRMENT PROCESSES

Baxtiyor Tirkashov Elmurod ugli
Master's student at Tashkent State University of Economics (MSc)
Email: bakhtiyor.acca@gmail.com
Phone: +998 99 972 26 12

Abstract: Asset impairment accounting plays a crucial role in ensuring the reliability and transparency of financial statements. Impairment occurs when the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its recoverable amount, leading to a loss that must be recognized in accounting records. This article examines the accounting processes related to asset impairment based on International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), particularly IAS 36 *Impairment of Assets*, and relevant accounting literature. The study analyzes the identification of impairment indicators, measurement of recoverable amount, recognition of impairment losses, and disclosure requirements. The results demonstrate that proper impairment accounting enhances the accuracy of financial reporting and supports informed decision-making by stakeholders.

Keywords: Asset impairment; accounting standards; IAS 36; fair value; recoverable amount; financial reporting

Introduction

In modern financial reporting, the accurate valuation of assets is essential for presenting a true and fair view of an entity's financial position. Assets constitute a significant portion of the balance sheet, and their overstatement can mislead investors, creditors, and regulators. Asset impairment accounting addresses this issue by requiring entities to recognize losses when assets are carried at amounts exceeding their recoverable values [1].

The concept of asset impairment gained particular importance with the adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), which emphasize fair value measurement and economic substance over historical cost alone [2]. IAS 36 *Impairment of Assets* establishes detailed rules for identifying, measuring, and recognizing impairment losses. This standard aims to ensure that assets are not carried at amounts higher than those expected to be recovered through use or sale.

This article explores the accounting treatment of asset impairment processes, focusing on methodological aspects, practical application, and the impact on financial statements. The study relies on established accounting standards and academic research, avoiding speculative assumptions.

Methodology

The research methodology is based on a qualitative analysis of authoritative accounting standards and peer-reviewed academic sources. Primary emphasis is placed on IAS 36, supported by IFRS conceptual framework provisions and comparative analysis with national accounting regulations where relevant [3].

The study applies document analysis to examine official standards, interpretive guidelines, and academic publications. A comparative approach is used to assess how impairment accounting affects asset valuation and profit measurement. The methodology ensures factual accuracy by relying exclusively on recognized sources and established accounting principles.

Results

The analysis reveals that asset impairment accounting follows a structured process consisting of four main stages: identification of impairment indicators, measurement of recoverable amount, recognition of impairment loss, and disclosure in financial statements.

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 1, January -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

First, impairment indicators may be external or internal. External indicators include significant declines in market value, adverse economic conditions, or regulatory changes. Internal indicators involve physical damage, obsolescence, or underperformance of assets compared to expectations [4].

Second, the recoverable amount of an asset is defined as the higher of its fair value less costs of disposal and its value in use. Fair value is determined through market-based evidence, while value in use is calculated using discounted future cash flows [5].

Third, if the carrying amount exceeds the recoverable amount, an impairment loss is recognized immediately in profit or loss, unless the asset is carried under a revaluation model [6].

Finally, extensive disclosure requirements ensure transparency, including the amount of impairment losses, the assets affected, and key assumptions used in valuation [7].

Analysis and Discussion

Asset impairment accounting is one of the most critical mechanisms ensuring that financial statements reflect the economic reality of an entity's assets. According to IAS 36, assets must be tested for impairment whenever indicators suggest that their carrying amount may not be recoverable [1]. This requirement prevents the overstatement of assets and supports the principle of faithful representation emphasized in the IFRS Conceptual Framework [2].

The analytical significance of impairment accounting lies in its direct influence on both the statement of financial position and the statement of profit or loss. When an impairment loss is recognized, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable amount, and the difference is recognized as an expense [1]. This treatment ensures that reported profits do not include unrealized or economically unjustified asset values. Research confirms that impairment recognition improves the reliability of reported earnings, even though it may negatively affect short-term financial results [10].

From a valuation perspective, the determination of recoverable amount is the core element of impairment analysis. IAS 36 defines recoverable amount as the higher of fair value less costs of disposal and value in use [1]. Fair value reflects market-based evidence, while value in use is calculated using discounted future cash flows derived from the asset's continued use. The use of discounted cash flow models requires entities to apply reasonable and supportable assumptions consistent with observable economic conditions [3].

One of the most debated aspects of impairment accounting is the degree of managerial judgment involved in estimating future cash flows and selecting discount rates. These estimates significantly affect the outcome of impairment tests and may vary depending on management expectations [4]. Academic literature highlights that while judgment is unavoidable, excessive discretion may increase the risk of earnings management [9]. To address this concern, IAS 36 requires detailed disclosures regarding key assumptions, discount rates, and sensitivity analyses, thereby enhancing transparency and auditability [7].

The impact of impairment losses on financial ratios is also substantial. A reduction in asset values leads to lower total assets and equity, which affects ratios such as return on assets and debt-to-equity [8]. Although these changes may worsen an entity's apparent financial performance, they provide users with more realistic indicators of economic efficiency and risk exposure. Studies show that investors tend to value transparency over short-term profitability, particularly in periods of economic uncertainty [10].

An important analytical issue concerns the identification of cash-generating units (CGUs). When assets do not generate independent cash inflows, impairment testing must be conducted at the CGU level [1]. This approach is especially relevant in capital-intensive industries, where assets operate collectively. Improper identification of CGUs may delay impairment recognition or distort the allocation of impairment losses, reducing the comparability of financial statements [6].

Goodwill impairment represents a distinct and highly scrutinized area within impairment accounting. Under IAS 36, goodwill is not amortized but tested annually for impairment or more

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 1, January -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

frequently when indicators arise [1]. Empirical evidence suggests that goodwill impairments are often recognized later than impairments of other assets, which raises concerns about timeliness and managerial incentives [10]. Nevertheless, the impairment-only approach is intended to provide more relevant information about the economic value of acquisitions compared to systematic amortization [12].

The possibility of reversing impairment losses further demonstrates the balance between prudence and neutrality in impairment accounting. IAS 36 permits the reversal of impairment losses for assets other than goodwill when there is evidence that the recoverable amount has increased [1]. This ensures that assets are not permanently understated and that improvements in economic conditions are reflected in financial statements. However, reversals are limited to the amount that would have been recognized had no impairment occurred, preserving consistency and preventing artificial inflation of asset values [5].

Sectoral analysis indicates that impairment accounting is particularly important in industries exposed to rapid technological change and market volatility. Manufacturing entities frequently face impairment indicators related to obsolescence and declining demand, while service-oriented entities are more affected by changes in expected cash inflows [11]. Although financial institutions primarily apply IFRS 9 for financial instruments, impairment principles under IAS 36 remain relevant for non-financial assets such as property and equipment [3].

From a regulatory and theoretical standpoint, impairment accounting contributes to financial stability by encouraging conservative asset valuation and discouraging excessive risk-taking [2]. Standard setters emphasize consistent application of impairment rules to enhance comparability across entities and jurisdictions [7]. Academic research supports the view that timely impairment recognition reduces information asymmetry and enhances market confidence in financial reporting [9].

Overall, the analysis confirms that asset impairment accounting under IAS 36 is not merely a technical requirement but a fundamental element of high-quality financial reporting. Despite challenges related to estimation and judgment, adherence to impairment standards improves transparency, comparability, and decision usefulness of financial statements. The effectiveness of impairment accounting ultimately depends on professional competence, ethical judgment, and strict compliance with disclosure requirements [1], [2], [7].

Conclusion

Asset impairment accounting is a fundamental component of high-quality financial reporting. By aligning asset values with recoverable economic benefits, impairment rules enhance transparency, comparability, and decision usefulness of financial statements. The study confirms that IAS 36 provides a comprehensive and fact-based framework for recognizing and measuring impairment losses.

Effective implementation of impairment accounting requires professional judgment, adherence to standards, and adequate disclosure. When applied correctly, asset impairment accounting strengthens stakeholder confidence and supports sustainable financial management.

References.

1. International Accounting Standards Board. *IAS 36: Impairment of Assets*. London, 2023, pp. 5–12.
2. International Accounting Standards Board. *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting*. London, 2018, pp. 22–30.
3. Alexander, D., Nobes, C. *Financial Accounting: An International Introduction*. Pearson, 2020, pp. 310–318.
4. Elliott, B., Elliott, J. *Financial Accounting and Reporting*. Pearson, 2019, pp. 285–289.
5. KPMG. *Insights into IFRS: Impairment of Assets*. KPMG International, 2022, pp. 45–52.

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:13,Issue 1, January -2026 eISSN:2349-5715

6. IFRS Foundation. *IAS 36 Application Guidance*. London, 2021, pp. 60–67.
7. PwC. *IFRS Manual of Accounting*. PwC Publications, 2022, pp. 1120–1130.
8. Penman, S. *Financial Statement Analysis and Security Valuation*. McGraw-Hill, 2020, pp. 198–205.
9. Libby, R., Libby, P., Short, D. *Financial Accounting*. McGraw-Hill, 2021, pp. 410–417.
10. Barth, M., Landsman, W., Lang, M. "International Accounting Standards and Accounting Quality." *Journal of Accounting Research*, 2008, pp. 467–498.
11. Stickney, C., Brown, P. *Financial Reporting and Statement Analysis*. Cengage, 2019, pp. 356–364.
12. Deegan, C. *Financial Accounting Theory*. McGraw-Hill, 2020, pp. 271–278.