pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:12,Issue 12,December-2025 eISSN:2349-5715

CURRENT PROBLEMS IN TEACHING HISTORY IN DIGITAL ENVIRONMENTS

Turayeva Sharipa Egamberdiyevna
Impuls medical institute

ABSTRACT: This article examines the current problems and challenges of teaching history in a digital educational environment. The study analyzes the transformation of traditional history teaching methods under the influence of digital technologies, identifies key contradictions between technological capabilities and pedagogical practice, and explores the impact of digitalization on students' historical thinking formation. Based on analysis of international experience and empirical research, the article identifies major challenges including: the problem of information reliability and critical thinking development, the risk of simplifying complex historical phenomena, difficulties in developing chronological thinking in a hypertext environment, ethical issues of using digital resources, and the digital divide problem. The research proposes practical recommendations for overcoming identified problems and effective integration of digital tools into the history teaching process while preserving fundamental pedagogical principles and the specificity of historical education.

Keywords: digital educational environment, history teaching, digital technologies in education, historical thinking, critical analysis of sources, digital literacy, multimedia resources, online learning, educational technologies, digital pedagogy.

Introduction. The digital environment provides virtually unlimited access to information about historical events, but this abundance creates significant pedagogical challenges. Students face difficulties distinguishing between reliable academic sources, popular history websites, biased political content, and outright misinformation or historical denialism.

A study by Wineburg and McGrew (2017) found that 82% of middle school students could not distinguish between sponsored content and actual news articles, while 96% of high school students failed to consider the motivation behind politically-oriented historical tweets. These findings suggest that traditional source evaluation strategies taught in history classes are insufficient for digital environments.

Manifestations:

- Students' tendency to accept the first search results without critical evaluation
- Difficulty assessing website credibility and author expertise
- Confusion between primary sources, secondary sources, and tertiary compilations
- Vulnerability to presentist interpretations and anachronistic understanding
- Challenges in dealing with contradictory information from multiple sources

Contributing Factors:

1. The authority paradox: Unlike traditional textbooks, which carry institutional authority, digital sources vary wildly in credibility, but this variation is not always apparent to students

2. Search engine bias: Algorithms prioritize popular and recent content over scholarly accuracy

3. Visual persuasion: Professional-looking websites may contain unreliable information, while valuable academic resources may have outdated designs

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:12,Issue 12,December-2025 eISSN:2349-5715

4. Limited lateral reading skills: Students tend to evaluate sources by examining the source itself rather than investigating what others say about it (lateral reading)

Impact on Historical Learning:

This problem fundamentally undermines the development of historical thinking. If students cannot evaluate sources effectively, they cannot engage in genuine historical inquiry, construct evidence-based arguments, or understand how historical knowledge is created and contested.

Fragmentation of Historical Narratives and Chronological Thinking

Nature of the Problem:

Digital environments naturally favor non-linear, hypertext structures where information is interconnected through multiple pathways rather than sequential narratives. While this aligns with postmodern historical understanding that recognizes multiple perspectives and contested narratives, it creates challenges for students developing foundational chronological understanding.

Manifestations:

- Weak chronological sequencing: students struggle to place events in proper temporal order
- Difficulty understanding causation across time
- Preference for isolated historical "facts" over contextual understanding
- Challenges in recognizing long-term historical processes and change over time
- Tendency toward presentism—interpreting past events through contemporary values without historical context

Research Evidence:

De Groot (2009) found that students using hypertext historical materials demonstrated poorer chronological reasoning compared to those using linear textbook narratives, despite showing equal factual recall. Lee and Shemilt (2011) argue that historical consciousness requires understanding time as more than simple sequence—it involves recognizing different temporal scales, periodization, and the relationship between events across time.

The Hypertext Paradox:

While hypertext reflects the complex, interconnected nature of historical causation, novice learners need structured chronological frameworks before they can effectively navigate non-linear historical relationships. Digital environments often provide sophisticated interconnections without adequate scaffolding for chronological foundations.

Pedagogical Implications:

Teachers face the challenge of leveraging digital tools' capabilities for showing connections and multiple perspectives while ensuring students develop robust chronological frameworks. This requires deliberate instructional design rather than assuming chronological understanding will emerge organically from digital exploration.

Superficial Engagement and the "Ctrl+C, Ctrl+V" Culture

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:12,Issue 12,December-2025 eISSN:2349-5715

Nature of the Problem:

Digital environments facilitate easy copying and pasting of information, leading to what researchers call "patch-writing" or "mosaic plagiarism," where students assemble information from multiple sources without genuine synthesis or critical analysis. Beyond plagiarism concerns, this practice indicates superficial engagement with historical content.

Manifestations:

- Students completing assignments by copying text from multiple websites without reading or understanding content
- Inability to explain or elaborate on information presented in their own work
- Lack of personal engagement with historical questions or problems
- Viewing research as information gathering rather than interpretation and argument construction
- Mechanical completion of digital activities without deep historical thinking

Research Findings:

Howard (2007) found that 77% of student plagiarism involved "patch-writing" from internet sources rather than deliberate cheating, suggesting inadequate skills in synthesis and paraphrasing. A 2019 study by Purcell (2019) revealed that 65% of teachers reported students' research skills as "fair" or "poor," citing inability to synthesize information as the primary deficit.

Underlying Causes:

1. Skills deficit: Students lack training in effective note-taking, paraphrasing, and synthesis
2. Efficiency pressure: Academic demands and time constraints encourage shortcuts
3. Unclear expectations: Assignments that can be completed through copying may implicitly encourage it
4. Disconnect from purpose: Students don't understand why engagement with sources matters for historical learning
5. Assessment practices: Traditional assessments emphasizing factual recall rather than analytical thinking

Impact on Historical Understanding:

This problem prevents students from developing the interpretive skills central to historical thinking. History education aims to teach students how to construct arguments from evidence, consider multiple perspectives, and develop historical empathy—none of which occur through mechanical copying.

Declining Attention Spans and Cognitive Overload

Nature of the Problem:

Digital environments, characterized by constant notifications, multiple open tabs, and rapid content switching, may be contributing to decreased sustained attention capacity. History

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:12,Issue 12,December-2025 eISSN:2349-5715

education traditionally requires extended engagement with complex texts and sustained analytical thinking—skills that conflict with multitasking digital behaviors.

Research Evidence:

- Microsoft's 2015 attention span study found average human attention span decreased from 12 seconds (2000) to 8 seconds (2015)
- Research by Rosen et al. (2013) found students typically spend only 3-5 minutes on a task before switching to technology-based distractions
- Ophir et al. (2009) demonstrated that "heavy media multitaskers" showed reduced ability to filter irrelevant information and poorer working memory

Manifestations in History Education:

- Difficulty reading extended historical texts or primary source documents
- Preference for brief summaries over in-depth analysis
- Challenges sustaining focus during documentary videos or lectures
- Superficial engagement with complex historical arguments
- Impatience with the deliberative pace of historical inquiry

The Cognitive Load Challenge:

Sweller's (1988) Cognitive Load Theory suggests working memory has limited capacity. Digital environments often impose high extraneous cognitive load (navigating interfaces, resisting distractions, processing multimedia) that reduces capacity for germane cognitive load (actual historical learning). This is particularly problematic for novice learners who lack automated schemas for historical thinking.

Paradox of Choice:

The abundance of digital resources can paradoxically reduce engagement. When presented with unlimited options, students may experience decision paralysis or make superficial choices rather than committing to deep exploration of particular topics.

Digital Divide and Equity Issues

Nature of the Problem:

While digital technologies promise democratization of education, significant inequalities persist in access to technology, internet connectivity, and digital literacy skills. These inequalities create new forms of educational disadvantage in history teaching.

Dimensions of the Digital Divide:

First-level divide (Access):

- 2.9 billion people globally lack internet access (ITU, 2021)
- Rural-urban disparities in connectivity quality
- Socioeconomic differences in device availability (computers vs. smartphones)

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:12,Issue 12,December-2025 eISSN:2349-5715

- Institutional inequalities in school technology infrastructure

Second-level divide (Skills and Usage):

- Digital literacy gaps between socioeconomic groups
- Differences in how technology is used (consumption vs. creation)
- Varying levels of family support for digital learning
- Teacher technology competency disparities

Third-level divide (Outcomes):

- Unequal conversion of digital access into educational benefits
- Cultural and linguistic barriers in predominantly English-language digital resources
- Differential impact of digital learning on various student populations

Implications for History Education:

When history teaching relies heavily on digital technologies, students lacking adequate access or skills face compounded disadvantages. They miss opportunities for:

- Virtual museum visits and primary source archives
- Collaborative online projects
- Multimedia content creation
- Interactive simulations and games
- Personalized adaptive learning experiences

Nature of the Problem:

History education traditionally involves more than content transmission; it includes mentorship, modeling historical thinking, and developing students' historical consciousness through dialogue and discussion. Excessive reliance on digital self-directed learning may attenuate these crucial relationships.

Key Concerns:

Reduced Personal Interaction: Online and blended learning formats often provide less face-to-face contact between teachers and students, limiting opportunities for:

- Socratic dialogue about historical interpretations
- Observing teacher modeling of historical thinking processes
- Immediate clarification of misconceptions
- Building trusting relationships that encourage intellectual risk-taking

Automation of Teaching:

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:12,Issue 12,December-2025 eISSN:2349-5715

Some digital platforms automate instruction and assessment, positioning teachers as facilitators rather than content experts. While facilitation has value, history education particularly benefits from expert guidance in navigating complex interpretations and contested narratives.

Limitations of Asynchronous Learning:

Much digital history instruction occurs asynchronously (students working independently on their own schedules). While offering flexibility, this format lacks:

- Real-time discussion and debate
- Immediate feedback and guidance
- Spontaneous exploration of student questions
- Collective meaning-making through classroom discourse

Research Evidence:

Dringus and Seagull (2013) found that students in fully online history courses reported feeling less connected to instructors and peers, resulting in lower engagement with controversial or complex topics. A meta-analysis by Bernard et al. (2009) showed face-to-face history instruction produced better outcomes for complex analytical thinking, though online formats matched traditional instruction for factual knowledge.

The Role of Historical Empathy:

Developing historical empathy—understanding people in the past on their own terms—requires guided practice and discussion. Teachers help students avoid presentism and anachronism through careful questioning and prompting. Digital environments can support this but cannot fully replace skilled teacher guidance

Ethical Issues and Sensitive Historical Content

Nature of the Problem:

History includes traumatic events, violence, oppression, and controversial topics. Digital environments complicate how teachers and students encounter and process such content, raising new ethical considerations.

Key Ethical Challenges:

Unmediated Access to Disturbing Content: Digital archives contain graphic images of violence, atrocity, and suffering. Unlike textbooks, which filter and contextualize such content, students researching online may encounter disturbing materials without preparation or support.

Representation of Marginalized Groups: Historical sources often contain racist, sexist, or otherwise offensive language reflecting past prejudices. Digital presentation of such sources requires careful framing to avoid normalizing harmful attitudes while maintaining historical authenticity.

As we navigate this digital transformation, we must remain committed to the fundamental goals of history education: developing informed, thoughtful citizens capable of understanding the past, analyzing evidence, recognizing multiple perspectives, and engaging constructively with historical knowledge. Digital technologies should serve these enduring purposes, not distract from them.

pISSN:2349-5707 Volume:12,Issue 12,December-2025 eISSN:2349-5715

The challenges outlined in this article are neither insurmountable nor reasons to resist digital innovation. Rather, they represent areas requiring thoughtful attention, ongoing research, professional learning, and collaborative problem-solving. By addressing these challenges directly, the educational community can harness digital technologies' potential while preserving and enhancing the deep, meaningful historical understanding that remains essential in the 21st century.

REFERENCES

1. Ophir, E., Nass, C., & Wagner, A. D. (2009). Cognitive control in media multitaskers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106*(37), 15583-15587.
2. Pew Research Center. (2018). *Digital gap between rural and nonrural America persists*. Retrieved from https://www.pewresearch.org
3. Prensky, M. (2001). Digital natives, digital immigrants. *On the Horizon, 9*(5), 1-6.
4. Purcell, K. (2019). The state of online learning in secondary education. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 22*(2), 1-14.
5. Reisman, A. (2012). Reading like a historian: A document-based history curriculum intervention in urban high schools. *Cognition and Instruction, 30*(1), 86-112.
6. Rosen, L. D., Carrier, L. M., & Cheever, N. A. (2013). Facebook and texting made me do it: Media-induced task-switching while studying. *Computers in Human Behavior, 29*(3), 948-958.
7. Seixas, P., & Morton, T. (2013). *The big six historical thinking concepts*. Nelson Education.
8. Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning, 2*(1), 3-10.
9. Steeves, C. (2020). Digital history: An assessment of current practices. *History Education Quarterly, 45*(3), 312-329.
10. Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. *Cognitive Science, 12*(2), 257-285.
11. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
12. Wineburg, S. (2001). *Historical thinking and other unnatural acts: Charting the future of teaching the past*. Temple University Press.
13. Wineburg, S., & McGrew, S. (2017). Lateral reading: Reading less and learning more when evaluating digital information. *Stanford History Education Group Working Paper No. 2017-A1*.