

ANTHROPOMETRIC AND MORPHOFUNCTIONAL PARAMETERS OF BONE TISSUE: CLINICAL AND PHARMACOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE**Rakhmatova Marhabo Rasulovna**

PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Clinical Pharmacology,

Abu Ali ibn Sino Bukhara State Medical Institute

Abstract: Bone tissue is a highly specialized dynamic structure that provides mechanical support, participates in mineral metabolism, and regulates multiple physiological processes. Anthropometric and morphofunctional parameters of bone tissue, such as length, thickness, density, mineral content, and morphological proportions, not only reflect individual characteristics of the organism but also serve as important biomarkers of its functional state. From a clinical and pharmacological perspective, assessment of these parameters is essential for selecting rational preventive and therapeutic strategies. Individual differences in bone density and structure influence the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of drugs affecting bone metabolism, including calcium, vitamin D, bisphosphonates, and selective estrogen receptor modulators. Comprehensive evaluation of bone tissue morphology and function allows for a deeper understanding of bone remodeling mechanisms and the development of personalized clinical-pharmacological approaches for the prevention and treatment of bone disorders.

Keywords: Bone tissue; Anthropometry; Morphofunctional parameters; Bone mineral density; Clinical pharmacology; Personalized therapy; Osteoporosis; Pharmacokinetics; Bone remodeling.

Relevance of the Topic. Bone tissue is a highly specialized dynamic structure that provides mechanical support to the body, participates in mineral metabolism, and regulates numerous physiological processes. Anthropometric characteristics of bone tissue—such as length, thickness, density, mineral content, and morphological proportions—not only reflect individual features of the organism but also serve as important biomarkers of its functional state.

Literature data confirm that body anthropometric parameters (e.g., height, weight, body circumferences) are associated with bone mineral density (BMD). For example, a cross-population study of 4,445 participants demonstrated a statistically significant correlation between BMD and height, weight, body mass index (BMI), as well as waist and hip circumferences. Furthermore, studies in elderly women have examined the relationship between BMD and geometric parameters of the tibia and lumbar spine with body build parameters—height and weight—showing that body weight has a stronger association with BMD than height alone.

In addition, systematic reviews indicate that body composition (fat and muscle mass) influences BMD in children and adolescents. Thus, anthropometric and morphometric parameters of bone tissue play a key role in understanding its structure and function.

From a clinical and pharmacological perspective, assessing anthropometric characteristics of bone tissue is essential for selecting rational therapeutic and preventive strategies. Individual differences in bone density and structure determine the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of drugs affecting bone metabolism, such as calcium, vitamin D, bisphosphonates, and selective estrogen receptor modulators. For instance, since body weight and dimensions influence drug distribution and metabolism, knowledge of a patient's anthropometric profile—including bone tissue parameters—can improve dosing accuracy, predict therapeutic efficacy, and reduce the risk of adverse effects.

Comprehensive study of the anthropometric and morphofunctional characteristics of bone tissue not only enables a deeper understanding of bone remodeling mechanisms but also facilitates the

development of personalized clinical and pharmacological strategies for the prevention and treatment of bone disorders, such as osteoporosis and osteopenia. Translating these data into clinical practice promotes more precise therapy tailored to the individual characteristics of each patient, which is particularly important in the era of personalized medicine.

The aim of this study is to comprehensively investigate the anthropometric characteristics of bone tissue and identify their individual variations from a clinical and pharmacological perspective. The research focuses on assessing bone health, features of bone metabolism, and the individual response of bone tissue to pharmacological agents, including calcium, vitamin D, bisphosphonates, and selective estrogen receptor modulators. The data obtained will contribute to the development of personalized strategies for the prevention and treatment of bone disorders, enhance the effectiveness of pharmacological interventions, minimize the risk of adverse effects, and implement individualized medicine approaches in the management of osteoporosis, osteopenia, and other disorders of bone mineral metabolism.

Materials and Methods. In this study, the morphofunctional characteristics of bone tissue and their clinical-pharmacological significance were investigated using a comprehensive approach, combining both clinical and instrumental methods. The main research methods included:

Literature Analysis — A systematic review of national and international publications on bone morphometry, bone mineral density (BMD), the influence of anthropometric parameters, and pharmacological interventions on bone metabolism.

Anthropometric Measurements — Assessment of bone length, thickness, circumferences, body mass index (BMI), and other parameters reflecting individual characteristics of the organism.

Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA) — Determination of body composition, particularly skeletal muscle mass and fat mass, as factors influencing mechanical load and bone metabolism.

Osteodensitometry (DXA, Ultrasound Densitometry) — Quantitative assessment of bone mineral density and detection of early signs of osteopenia or osteoporosis.

Clinical-Pharmacological Analysis — Evaluation of the individual efficacy of drugs affecting bone metabolism (calcium, vitamin D, bisphosphonates, selective estrogen receptor modulators), considering patient-specific characteristics.

Statistical analysis included correlation, regression, and multivariate analyses to evaluate the impact of internal factors (age, sex, hormonal status, genetic predisposition) and external factors (physical activity level, environmental conditions, dietary habits) on bone tissue status. This comprehensive approach allowed for the identification of relationships between the morphofunctional characteristics of bone and individual organism features, as well as external conditions, while also assessing the variability of pharmacological responses.

Results and Discussion. The results of the study demonstrated that the anthropometric characteristics of bone tissue—such as length, thickness, density, and morphological proportions—exhibit significant variability depending on individual factors, including age, sex, hormonal status, physical activity level, and environmental conditions. It was observed that reductions in bone mineral density (BMD) are more frequently found in elderly individuals, postmenopausal women, and patients with low physical activity or adverse environmental conditions.

Data from bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) and osteodensitometry (DXA) allowed the identification of pathological conditions associated with alterations in bone mass and density, including an increased risk of osteoporosis and osteoarthritis. In particular, decreases in muscle mass and imbalances in body composition were found to correlate with reductions in bone density and a higher likelihood of fractures.

The study also highlighted several challenges and limitations:

Individual variability in therapeutic response — identical drugs or preventive measures may produce different effects in different patients, necessitating a personalized approach.

Multifactorial influence of internal and external factors — the complex interplay of hormonal, genetic, physical activity, and environmental factors complicates the interpretation of results and the development of universal predictive models.

Limitations of clinical-pharmacological analysis — subjective elements in evaluation and insufficient duration of observation reduce the accuracy of determining drug efficacy.

Need for integration of multiple methods — a comprehensive understanding of the morphofunctional status of bones requires the combination of anthropometric data, BIA, DXA, and biochemical markers of bone metabolism.

The integrated use of these methods enables not only the identification of risk factors and early changes in bone tissue but also the development of personalized prevention and treatment strategies that consider individual morphofunctional characteristics and pharmacological responses.

Conclusion. Anthropometric characteristics of bone tissue serve not only as indicators of its morphofunctional status but also as key markers of an individual's response to pharmacological interventions. The integrated application of bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), osteodensitometry (DXA), and clinical-pharmacological evaluation enabled both quantitative and qualitative assessment of bone mass and density, as well as the identification of internal and external factors influencing these parameters.

The findings confirm that the morphofunctional characteristics of bone tissue are closely correlated with individual patient factors, including age, sex, hormonal status, physical activity level, and environmental conditions. This underscores their importance as biomarkers for predicting the risk of osteoporosis, osteoarthritis, and other skeletal pathologies.

The implementation of a comprehensive approach to assessing bone tissue provides a scientific basis for developing personalized strategies for the prevention and treatment of bone diseases. Such an approach facilitates the optimization of pharmacological interventions, minimizes the risk of adverse effects, and supports the integration of individualized medicine principles into clinical practice.

References:

1. Axmatovna, Mustafaeva Shargiya, et al. "Peculiarities of the morphophenotype and characteristics of the physical performance of young football players and their relationship with the gaming amplitude." *Academicia: an international multidisciplinary research journal* 11.2 (2021): 1381-1388.
2. Rakhmatova M. R., Jalolova V. Z. *Methods of Research of Body Composition in Athletes.* // *Electronic Scientific Journal "Biology and Integrative Medicine"*, 2020, pp. 16- 29.
3. Bouxsein M. L., Keaveny T. M. A Review on Osteoporosis. *JAMA*. 2010;303(18):1829- 1836.
4. Kim H. S., Jeong E. S., Yang M. H., Yang S-O. Bone mineral density assessment for research purpose using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry. *Osteoporos Sarcopenia*. 2018;4(3):79- 85. DOI:10.1016/j.afos.2018.09.003.
5. Haseltine K. N., Chukir T., Smith P. J., Jacob J. T., Bilezikian J. P., Farooki A. Bone Mineral Density: Clinical Relevance and Quantitative Assessment. *J Nucl Med*. 2021;62(4):446- 454. DOI:10.2967/jnumed.120.256180.

6. Xuan R., Song Y., Baker J. S., Gu Y. The Evaluation of Bone Mineral Density based on Age and Anthropometric Parameters in Southeast Chinese Adults: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Med Sci Monit.* 2020;26:e923603- 1- 7. DOI:10.12659/MSM.923603.
7. Murat S., Dogruoz Karatekin B., Demirdag F., Kolbasi E.N. Anthropometric and Body Composition Measurements Related to Osteoporosis in Geriatric Population. *Medeni Med J.* 2021;36(4):294- 301. DOI:10.4274/MMJ.galenos.2021.32396.
8. Podkhvatilina A. S., Nikitin I. G., Shchelykalina S. P. Assessment of body composition parameters in patients with osteoporosis. *Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism.* 2023;4(3):176- 186. DOI:10.17816/clinutr624192.
9. Hasani-Ranjbar S., Jafari-Adli S., Payab M. et al. Association of Osteoporosis with Anthropometric Measures in a Representative Sample of Iranian Adults: The Iranian Multicenter Osteoporosis Study. *Int J Prev Med.* 2019;10:157. DOI:10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM_326_17.
10. Manzoori H. Mechanistic Insights and Therapeutic Strategies in Osteoporosis: A Comprehensive Review. *Biomedicines.* 2024;12(8):1635. DOI:10.3390/biomedicines12081635.
11. Rakhmatova M.R., Zhalolova V.Z. Yuniyor va kadet sportmenlarda tananing kompozitsion tarkibini uringanish. // *Tibbiyotda yangi kun.* - № 2 (30/2). - B. 67- 70
12. Rakhmatova Markhabo Rasulovna, Zhalolova Vazira Zamirovna . Metody issledovaniya kompozitsionnogo sostava tela u sportmenov // *Biologiya i integrativnaya meditsina.* 2020. №4 (44).