

THE IMPACT OF TRANSCRANIAL MAGNETIC STIMULATION ON AUTONOMIC DYSFUNCTION IN LUMBAR SPINE DORSOPATHY

Qurbonova Anorabegim Ibrohim kizi

2nd-year Master's Student, Tashkent Medical University

Email: anorabegimqurbonova@gmail.com

Phone: +998-33-284-34-34

Abstract: Lumbar spine dorsopathy is a prevalent musculoskeletal condition characterized by restricted mobility, pain syndromes, and autonomic dysfunction. Vegetative symptoms—including heart rate instability, gastrointestinal dysregulation, sleep disturbances, and stress sensitivity—significantly impair patients' quality of life and complicate rehabilitation efforts. Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), a non-invasive neuromodulation technique, has demonstrated potential in modulating cortical activity, alleviating pain, stabilizing emotional states, and influencing autonomic regulation.

This review article explores the theoretical and clinical foundations for applying TMS in patients with lumbar dorsopathy who present with autonomic dysfunction. It analyzes the neurophysiological mechanisms underlying TMS, its effects on central autonomic networks, and its influence on biomarkers such as stress hormones and heart rate variability. Furthermore, the article discusses the integration of TMS into multidisciplinary rehabilitation protocols and outlines directions for future research.

Keywords: Transcranial magnetic stimulation, lumbar spine dorsopathy, autonomic dysfunction, neuromodulation, rehabilitation, autonomic nervous system, stress hormones, heart rate variability

Introduction

Lumbar spine dorsopathy encompasses a spectrum of degenerative, inflammatory, and biomechanical disorders affecting the vertebral column, surrounding musculature, cartilage, and neural structures. While pain and motor limitations are the primary clinical manifestations, autonomic nervous system (ANS) disturbances are frequently observed but often underdiagnosed. These include fluctuations in heart rate, blood pressure instability, gastrointestinal dysfunction, sleep disorders, and reduced stress tolerance. Such vegetative symptoms not only exacerbate the clinical burden but also diminish rehabilitation efficacy and overall patient well-being.

In recent years, neuromodulation techniques—particularly transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS)—have gained prominence in clinical neuroscience. TMS delivers focused electromagnetic impulses to specific cortical regions, modulating neuronal excitability and network connectivity. Initially developed for psychiatric and chronic pain conditions, TMS is now being investigated for its potential to influence central autonomic pathways, including the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, insular cortex, and anterior cingulate gyrus.

Given the complex interplay between spinal pathology and autonomic imbalance, integrating TMS into rehabilitation strategies for lumbar dorsopathy represents a promising therapeutic direction. This review aims to synthesize current neurophysiological insights, evaluate clinical evidence, and propose future research pathways for the use of TMS in managing autonomic dysfunction associated with lumbar spine disorders.

Main Body

1. Neurophysiological Basis of Autonomic Dysfunction in Lumbar Dorsopathy

Autonomic dysfunction in lumbar dorsopathy arises from both peripheral and central mechanisms. Peripheral nociceptive input from damaged spinal structures can trigger maladaptive responses in the central nervous system, leading to sensitization and dysregulation

of autonomic control. This manifests as altered heart rate variability (HRV), gastrointestinal motility disturbances, thermoregulatory instability, and heightened stress reactivity.

Central autonomic regulation involves a network of cortical and subcortical structures, including the insular cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. These regions coordinate sympathetic and parasympathetic outputs and are implicated in emotional processing, pain modulation, and homeostatic balance. Disruption in these networks due to chronic pain or neuroinflammation may contribute to the vegetative symptoms observed in dorsopathy patients.

2. Mechanisms of TMS in Modulating Autonomic Function

Transcranial magnetic stimulation operates by generating rapidly changing magnetic fields that induce localized electric currents in cortical neurons. Depending on the frequency and site of stimulation, TMS can either enhance or inhibit neuronal activity. Repetitive TMS (rTMS) protocols targeting the prefrontal cortex have shown promise in modulating autonomic parameters such as HRV, blood pressure, and cortisol levels.

The therapeutic effects of TMS are thought to involve modulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, limbic system connectivity, and vagal tone. By influencing these pathways, TMS may restore autonomic balance and reduce stress-related physiological responses. Although direct studies in lumbar dorsopathy are limited, evidence from related conditions—such as fibromyalgia, irritable bowel syndrome, and chronic low back pain—suggests that TMS can positively affect autonomic regulation.

3. Evidence from Related Clinical Conditions

Several clinical studies have explored the autonomic effects of TMS in musculoskeletal and neurological disorders. For instance, in patients with delayed onset muscle soreness (DOMS), combined transcranial and peripheral electromagnetic stimulation improved autonomic markers and accelerated recovery. In chronic low back pain, TMS has been associated with reductions in pain intensity, improvements in mood and sleep quality, and normalization of HRV.

Despite these encouraging findings, research specifically addressing autonomic dysfunction in lumbar dorsopathy remains scarce. Most studies focus on pain relief or motor rehabilitation, with limited attention to vegetative symptoms. This highlights the need for targeted investigations using objective biomarkers—such as HRV, salivary cortisol, and skin conductance—to assess TMS efficacy in this context.

4. Integration of TMS into Rehabilitation Protocols

Incorporating TMS into rehabilitation programs for lumbar dorsopathy offers a multidimensional approach to patient care. By addressing both somatic and autonomic components, TMS may enhance neuroplasticity, reduce psychological barriers, and improve physiological stability. This could lead to better engagement in physical therapy, faster recovery, and improved quality of life. Personalized TMS protocols based on neurophysiological profiling—such as EEG and HRV analysis—may optimize therapeutic outcomes. However, several challenges remain, including standardization of stimulation parameters, identification of responsive patient subgroups, and long-term safety evaluation. Collaborative research involving neurologists, physiatrists, and rehabilitation specialists is essential to establish clinical guidelines and integrate TMS into routine care.

Conclusion

Transcranial magnetic stimulation represents a promising adjunctive modality in the management of autonomic dysfunction associated with lumbar spine dorsopathy. While preliminary evidence supports its neuromodulatory effects, direct studies in this patient population are limited. The integration of TMS into multidisciplinary rehabilitation protocols may offer benefits beyond pain relief, including restoration of autonomic balance and enhancement of functional recovery.

Future research should focus on validating TMS efficacy through randomized controlled trials, developing individualized stimulation protocols, and exploring its long-term impact on autonomic regulation. In doing so, TMS may become a valuable tool in the comprehensive

treatment of lumbar dorsopathy, contributing to improved clinical outcomes and patient-centered care.

References:

1. Arora T., Desai N., Kirshblum S., Chen R. Utility of transcranial magnetic stimulation in the assessment of spinal cord injury: Current status and future directions. *Frontiers in Rehabilitation Sciences*. 2022;3:1005111. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fresc.2022.1005111>
2. Mikaël M., et al. Corticomotor control of lumbar erector spinae in postural and voluntary tasks: the influence of transcranial magnetic stimulation current direction. *eNeuro*. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0454-22.2023>
3. Fan S., Wang W., Zheng X. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for the Treatment of Spinal Cord Injury: Current Status and Perspective. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2023;26(2):825. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms26020825>
4. Olechowski C., Gener M., Aiyer R., Mischel N. Transcranial magnetic stimulation for the treatment of chronic low back pain: a narrative review. *Frontiers in Pain Research*. 2023;4:1092158. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpain.2023.1092158>
5. Edwards D.J., Fried P.J., Davila-Pérez P., et al. *A Practical Manual for Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation*. Springer. 2024. <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-031-62304-2>
6. Cabrerizo M., et al. Induced Effects of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation on the Autonomic Nervous System and the Cardiac Rhythm. *The Scientific World Journal*. 2014; Article ID 349718. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/349718>
7. Keriven H., et al. Influence of combined transcranial and peripheral electromagnetic stimulation on the autonomous nerve system on delayed onset muscle soreness in young athletes: a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Translational Medicine*. 2025;23:306. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-025-06238-3>
8. Ishida F.A., et al. The Effect of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) on Stress Levels, Quality of Sleep, and the Autonomic Nervous System: A Case Study. *Advances in Clinical and Translational Research*. 2018;1. <https://emrepublisher.com/open-access-pdf/a-case-study-the-effect-of-transcranial-magnetic-stimulation-tms-on-stress-levels-quality-of-sleep-and-the-autonomic-nervous-system-100004.pdf>
9. Lefaucheur J.P., et al. Evidence-based guidelines on the therapeutic use of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS). *Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2020;131(2):474–528. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2019.11.002>
10. Nardone R., et al. Transcranial magnetic stimulation and spinal cord injury: a review. *Spinal Cord*. 2015;53(3):168–177. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sc.2014.199>