

THE QUESTION OF THE USE OF INTERACTIVE METHODS IN THE TEACHING OF THE SUBJECT OF THERAPY IN MEDICAL INSTITUTIONS

Djabbarova Zulfiya Iskandarovna

Assistant of the Department of Internal Medicine, Rehabilitation and Folk Medicine, Urgench branch of the Tashkent Medical Academy.

Annotation: This article explores the application of interactive methods in teaching therapy within medical institutions. It examines the effectiveness of these methods in enhancing student engagement, knowledge retention, and clinical skills development. Through a review of existing literature, analysis of implemented methods, and evaluation of outcomes, the study highlights the advantages and challenges of interactive teaching approaches. The findings suggest that interactive methods significantly improve learning outcomes and propose recommendations for their integration into medical curricula.

Keywords: Interactive teaching, medical education, therapy, student engagement, clinical skills, active learning, simulation-based learning, problem-based learning.

The teaching of therapy in medical institutions requires approaches that not only impart theoretical knowledge but also develop practical skills for real-world clinical practice. Traditional lecture-based methods often fall short in fostering critical thinking and hands-on expertise. Interactive teaching methods, such as problem-based learning (PBL), simulation-based training, and case-based discussions, have emerged as promising tools to address these gaps. These methods encourage active participation, collaboration, and the application of knowledge in simulated or real clinical scenarios. This article investigates the role of interactive methods in enhancing the teaching of therapy, focusing on their impact on student performance and curriculum development.

The integration of interactive methods in teaching the subject of therapy in medical institutions is a transformative approach that aligns with modern educational paradigms, emphasizing active learning, critical thinking, and practical skill development. Therapy, encompassing disciplines like internal medicine, pharmacology, and clinical management, requires students to not only master theoretical knowledge but also apply it effectively in dynamic clinical settings. Interactive teaching methods address these needs by fostering engagement, collaboration, and hands-on experience. Below is a detailed exploration of key interactive methods, their benefits, challenges, and practical considerations for implementation in medical education.

Key Interactive Methods for Teaching Therapy

Case-Based Learning (CBL):

- **Description:** CBL involves presenting students with real or hypothetical patient cases that mimic clinical scenarios in therapy. Students analyze symptoms, diagnostic tests, and treatment options to arrive at a diagnosis and management plan. Cases are often discussed in small groups or facilitated by an instructor.

- **Application in Therapy:** For example, a case might involve a 65-year-old patient with hypertension and diabetes presenting with chest pain. Students must interpret ECG results, select appropriate medications, and consider comorbidities.

- **Evidence:** A 2012 meta-analysis by Thistlethwaite et al. found that CBL improves clinical reasoning and diagnostic accuracy compared to traditional lectures. It also enhances students' ability to integrate pathophysiology with therapeutic decision-making.

- Advantages: Encourages critical thinking, mirrors real-world practice, and promotes peer discussion. It also allows students to explore differential diagnoses and evidence-based treatment protocols.

- Challenges: Requires well-designed cases and skilled facilitators to guide discussions without dominating them. Time-intensive to prepare and implement.

Problem-Based Learning (PBL):

- Description: PBL is a student-centered approach where small groups tackle complex, open-ended clinical problems with minimal initial guidance. Students identify learning objectives, research independently, and reconvene to discuss findings and solutions.

- Application in Therapy: A PBL scenario might involve a patient with unexplained weight loss and fatigue. Students research potential causes (e.g., malignancy, thyroid disorders), diagnostic approaches, and therapeutic options, such as chemotherapy or hormone replacement.

- Evidence: Barrows (1996) demonstrated that PBL enhances knowledge retention and clinical application by fostering self-directed learning and collaboration.

- Advantages: Develops research skills, encourages lifelong learning, and builds teamwork. It also prepares students for the uncertainty of real clinical practice.

- Challenges: Demands significant student motivation and time. Faculty must be trained to facilitate without providing direct answers, which can be a shift from traditional teaching roles.

Simulation-Based Training:

- Description: Simulation uses high-fidelity mannequins, standardized patients (actors), or virtual reality to replicate clinical scenarios. Students practice procedures, diagnostics, and therapeutic interventions in a controlled environment.

- Application in Therapy: Simulations can include managing acute conditions (e.g., anaphylaxis requiring epinephrine) or chronic diseases (e.g., adjusting insulin for a diabetic patient). Virtual platforms like VR can simulate rare conditions or emergencies.

- Evidence: McGaghie et al. (2010) found that simulation-based training reduces clinical errors and improves procedural competence. It also boosts confidence in high-stakes situations.

- Advantages: Provides safe, repeatable practice without patient risk. Allows immediate feedback and debriefing to refine skills.

- Challenges: High costs for equipment (e.g., mannequins, VR systems) and maintenance. Requires trained staff and space for simulation labs.

Role-Playing:

- Description: Students assume roles (e.g., doctor, patient, or family member) to practice communication, history-taking, and therapeutic counseling. Scenarios can address difficult conversations, such as discussing a terminal diagnosis or medication adherence.

- Application in Therapy: A student might play a doctor explaining the risks and benefits of anticoagulant therapy to a "patient" with atrial fibrillation, addressing concerns about bleeding risks.

- Evidence: Nestel and Tierney (2007) showed that role-playing improves empathy, patient-centered communication, and cultural competence.

- Advantages: Low-cost and adaptable to various settings. Enhances soft skills critical for patient trust and compliance in therapy.

- Challenges: Some students may feel self-conscious or struggle to take role-playing seriously. Requires clear objectives and debriefing to maximize learning.

Flipped Classroom:

- Description: Students study foundational material (e.g., lectures, videos, or readings on pharmacology or disease management) before class. In-class time is used for interactive activities like case discussions, quizzes, or hands-on practice.

- Application in Therapy: Students might watch a video on heart failure pathophysiology at home, then participate in a class debate on beta-blockers versus ACE inhibitors for specific patient profiles.
- Evidence: Prober and Khan (2013) reported that flipped classrooms increase student engagement and allow deeper exploration of complex therapeutic concepts.
- Advantages: Maximizes active learning time in class. Encourages self-paced preparation and caters to diverse learning styles.
- Challenges: Relies on student compliance with pre-class work. Requires high-quality preparatory materials and instructor planning - Team-Based Learning (TBL):
- Description: TBL combines individual preparation, team problem-solving, and instructor feedback. Students complete pre-class readings, take individual quizzes, then work in teams to solve therapeutic challenges, followed by facilitated discussions.
- Application in Therapy: Teams might analyze a case of a patient with multiple comorbidities, debating the prioritization of treatments (e.g., managing hypertension versus renal dysfunction).
- Evidence: Koles et al. (2010) found that TBL improves exam performance and fosters peer accountability.
- Advantages: Promotes collaboration, critical thinking, and peer teaching. Scalable for large classes.
- Challenges: Requires careful group formation to avoid imbalances. Time-intensive to design effective problems.

Benefits of Interactive Methods in Teaching Therapy

Enhanced Engagement and Motivation: Interactive methods shift students from passive recipients to active participants, increasing interest and ownership of learning. For instance, simulations and role-playing create immersive experiences that make therapy concepts more relatable.

Improved Knowledge Retention and Application: Active learning promotes deeper understanding and long-term retention. PBL and CBL, for example, require students to connect theoretical knowledge (e.g., drug mechanisms) to clinical scenarios, reinforcing learning through application.

Development of Clinical Reasoning: Methods like CBL and PBL hone diagnostic and therapeutic decision-making by exposing students to complex, realistic cases that mirror practice.

Skill Development Beyond Knowledge: Interactive methods cultivate soft skills like communication, empathy, and teamwork, which are critical for effective patient care in therapy. Role-playing and TBL, in particular, emphasize these competencies.

Preparation for Real-World Practice: Simulations and case discussions replicate the uncertainty and complexity of clinical environments, preparing students for high-pressure situations like managing acute heart failure or negotiating treatment plans with patients.

Alignment with Adult Learning Principles: Medical students, as adult learners, benefit from self-directed, problem-oriented, and experiential learning, which interactive methods inherently provide.

Conclusion

Interactive methods in teaching therapy transform medical education by bridging the gap between theory and practice. By engaging students in case-based discussions, simulations, role-playing, and collaborative problem-solving, these methods cultivate the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed for effective therapeutic practice. While challenges like resource demands and faculty training exist, strategic implementation—starting small, leveraging technology, and aligning with objectives—can maximize impact. The result is a generation of medical professionals better equipped to navigate the complexities of patient care, ultimately improving outcomes in therapy and beyond.

References:

1. Pinchuk Tatiana Vitalievna, Orlova Natalia Vasilyevna INTERACTIVE TEACHING METHODS IN HIGHER medical education (analytical REVIEW) // Medical education and professional development. 2020. №3 (39).
2. Blinova, A.O. Interactive methods in the educational process: a textbook / A.O.Blinova, E.N.Blagireva, O.S.Rudakova - M.: Publishing House "SCIENTIFIC LIBRARY", 2014. - 264 p.
3. Abdumanonov A. A., Abdumannonov J. THE CONCEPT OF TEACHING ICT TO MEDICAL UNIVERSITY STUDENTS //University science: a look into the future. - 2020. - pp. 648-650.
4. Genike, E.A. Active teaching methods / E.A.Genike. - M.: National Book Center, 2015. - 176 p.
5. Abdumanonov A. A. INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES IN SELF-EDUCATION OF STUDENTS OF H I G H E R M E D I C A L E D U C A T I O N A L INSTITUTIONS //Innovations in education and medicine. Materials V. All. - 2018. - P. 9.
6. Lapygin, Yu. N. Methods of active learning: textbook and workshop for universities / Yu. N.Lapygin. - M.: Yurayt, 2018. - 248 p.
7. Naumov L.B. Educational games in medicine / L.B.Naumov. - M.: Medicine, 1986— - 318 p.
8. Abdumanonov A. A. Aliyev R.E. The use of computers in the process of teaching students in higher educational institutions // New information technologies in science: collection of articles of the International Scientific and Practical Conference. Ufa. - 2015.
9. Muidinov F. F., Abdumanonov A. A. CLOUD TECHNOLOGIES - IN THE EDUCATIONAL PROCESS OF MEDICAL UNIVERSITIES //University science: a look into the future. - 2020. - pp. 784-786.