

*M.Akhmedova**Kokand university**Teacher of World Languages Department**mdahmedova@kokanduni.uz +998(90)1570880*

ORGANIZING READING COMPREHENSION ACTIVITIES IN THE CLASSROOM SETTING

Abstract:As the cornerstone for learning across disciplines, reading comprehension is an essential educational skill. The development of students' analytical and critical thinking abilities depends on the efficient planning of reading comprehension exercises in the classroom. By combining theoretical viewpoints, teaching methodologies, and classroom implementations, this article examines several approaches to organizing reading comprehension exercises. The study examines assessment strategies, individualized instruction, and scaffolding strategies that promote comprehension growth using data from current studies.

Keywords:scaffolding, differentiated learning, classroom instruction, reading comprehension, and assessment

1. Introduction Understanding, interpreting, and critically analyzing texts are all part of reading comprehension (Snow, 2002). It is a fundamental ability that affects academic achievement in a variety of topics, such as science, math, literature, and history. Students who lack excellent reading comprehension skills may find it difficult to understand difficult ideas, think critically, and apply what they have learned.

In order to effectively teach reading comprehension in the classroom, activities that promote motivation, engagement, and a deeper knowledge of the text must be carefully planned (Duke & Pearson, 2002). To ensure that all children may become proficient in reading, writing, and analyzing texts, teachers must use a variety of tactics that accommodate the unique learning requirements and preferences of their students. In this process, strategies like differentiated instruction, scaffolding, and guided practice are essential. Furthermore, the way reading comprehension is taught has changed as a result of the incorporation of technology and multimodal resources, which presents fresh chances to improve students' educational experiences.

The methods for organizing reading comprehension exercises that improve learning results are examined in this article. Along with scaffolding strategies and differentiated instruction, it covers pre-, during-, and post-reading activities. It also emphasizes assessment strategies that help guide instructional decisions and reveal information about students' progress. Teachers can help students develop their ability to interact meaningfully with texts and enhance their literacy abilities by putting in place well-structured comprehension exercises.

2. Theoretical Foundations of Reading Comprehension Reading comprehension theories serve as a basis for teaching methods and direct teachers in creating efficient teaching techniques. Modern approaches to teaching reading comprehension have been influenced by a variety of theoretical stances, placing special emphasis on the cognitive, linguistic, and sociocultural aspects of learning.

2.1 Schema Theory According to Anderson and Pearson's (1984) schema theory, readers actively create meaning through the integration of new information with what they already know. In order to comprehend and anticipate content, readers use mental models, or schemas, to make sense of texts. Therefore, using pre-reading activities and discussions to activate and build students' prior knowledge is essential to effective reading instruction (Pressley, 2000).

2.2 The Interactive Model of Reading According to Rumelhart's (1977) interactive model of reading, comprehension results from the simultaneous processing of cognitive processes and textual signals. The significance of both top-down (using past information and drawing conclusions) and bottom-up (decoding words and identifying syntax) processes is emphasized by this paradigm. By combining phonics instruction with techniques that improve meaning-making, such think-alouds and directed questioning, teachers can help students understand (Perfetti & Stafura, 2014).

2.3 The Socio-Cultural Theory The importance of social contact in learning is emphasized by Vygotsky's (1978) socio-cultural theory, which emphasizes that understanding grows via group discussions, peer participation, and instructor direction. According to the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) theory, learning occurs most effectively when students receive scaffolded assistance from more experienced people. By encouraging discussion and collaborative meaning-making, instructional practices like cooperative learning and reciprocal teaching (Palincsar & Brown, 1984) help students understand.

2.4 The Cognitive and Metacognitive Perspectives Students can track their understanding through a variety of cognitive and metacognitive processes involved in reading comprehension. The term metacognition, which describes a person's awareness and control over their thought processes, was first used by Flavell (1979). Metacognitive techniques including summarizing, rereading, and self-questioning are used by proficient readers to improve understanding. By fostering reflective practices and modeling strategic reading behaviors, educators can help students develop metacognition (Paris & Winograd, 1990).

2.5 The Construction-Integration Model According to Kintsch's (1988) construction-integration model, readers first create a preliminary mental model of the text (construction phase), which they then combine with prior information to create a cohesive mental model (integration phase). In order to promote understanding growth, this model emphasizes the value of explicit training in inferencing, summarization, and coherence-building techniques (McNamara & Magliano, 2009).

3. Strategies for Organizing Reading Comprehension Activities

3.1 Activities for Pre-Reading Pre-reading exercises spark students' interest in the book, engage their existing knowledge, and establish the goal of reading.

- **Anticipation guides:** Before reading a text, students are encouraged to anticipate its content and consider its main ideas using these teaching resources (Alvermann et al., 1987). By encouraging students to reflect on their preexisting opinions and modify them in light of textual evidence, this technique promotes critical thinking.
- **KWL charts (Know, Want to Know, Learned):** Students develop questions they wish to answer, record what they already know about a subject, and then record what they have learned (Ogle, 1986). This methodical approach improves comprehension and aids in reading guidance.

Vocabulary previews: Students can more successfully traverse complicated texts when important vocabulary words are introduced before reading (Beck, McKeown, & Kucan, 2002). Students are better able to comprehend complex concepts when they are familiar with key terminology.

3.2 Activities to Do While Reading Students who participate in reading-related activities are more likely to actively engage with the material and improve their understanding.

- **Think-alouds:** Teachers show how proficient readers approach understanding by verbalizing their thoughts as they read, modeling cognitive processes (Wilhelm, 2001). Students who use this strategy are better able to internalize reading strategies.
- **Reciprocal teaching:** A cooperative learning strategy in which students work together to process meaning by assuming roles like summarizer, questioner, clarifier, and predictor (Palincsar & Brown, 1984). Through peer conversation, this method improves comprehension.
- **Note-taking and annotation:** According to Fisher and Frey (2014), encouraging students to highlight important ideas, write down their opinions, and draw connections between texts improves comprehension and engagement.

3.3 Activities to Do After Reading Post-reading exercises assist pupils integrate knowledge and strengthen understanding.

- **Discussion circles:** Students can express ideas, pose queries, and refute interpretations through organized group discussions, which improves understanding (Daniels, 2002).
- **Summarization exercises:** Students can enhance their memory of knowledge and simplify key concepts by writing summaries (Graham & Perin, 2007).
- **Creative responses:** Deeper involvement is supported when students are encouraged to create visual representations of the material, write reflective essays, or act out role-plays (Duke & Pearson, 2002).

4. Providing Reading Comprehension Support Providing children with organized assistance as they improve their reading comprehension abilities is known as scaffolding. As students gain independence and proficiency in reading, this help is progressively reduced (Wood, Bruner, & Ross, 1976). By providing instruction that is appropriate for their current level of knowledge, scaffolding makes ensuring that students can efficiently access, absorb, and assimilate information. When it comes to scaffolding reading comprehension, the following techniques are crucial:

- **Guided Reading:** Teachers assign materials that are just a little bit over their pupils' level of independent reading to small groups of students who have comparable reading levels (Fountas & Pinnell, 1996). To assist pupils in decoding difficult words and comprehending the meaning of the text, the teacher leads discussions, poses guiding questions, and offers prompts. Students gain more reading comprehension independence by progressively raising the complexity of the texts and decreasing direct assistance.
- **Graphic Organizers:** Students can better arrange and synthesize information from texts with the use of graphic organizers (Zwiers, 2004). Students may visually portray relationships between ideas, identify essential themes, and keep track of crucial data with the help of graphic organizers like concept maps, Venn diagrams, and story maps. Graphic organizers help students better comprehend and remember material by arranging it in an understandable and methodical manner.
- **Questioning Strategies:** By asking questions that promote varying degrees of cognitive engagement, teachers can scaffold students' thinking using Bloom's Taxonomy (Anderson &

Krathwohl, 2001). Higher-order questions necessitate analysis, assessment, and synthesis, whereas lower-order questions concentrate on factual memory and comprehension. Teachers help students interact with materials more deeply by progressively providing increasingly difficult questions, which develops their critical thinking and comprehension abilities.

By giving students the resources they need to successfully traverse texts, these scaffolding techniques enhance their capacity to create connections, create meaning, and hone their analytical reading abilities. The degree of assistance can be progressively decreased as pupils become more competent and self-assured, allowing them to develop into independent and capable readers.

5. Reading comprehension instruction that is differentiated Activities are customized for a variety of learners through differentiated training. Among the tactics are: • Flexible grouping, which pairs students according to their reading proficiency for peer learning (Tomlinson, 2001).

- Choice-based reading assignments: Giving students the freedom to choose books that suit their reading levels and interests (Guthrie & Wigfield, 2000).
- Multisensory approaches: Combining tactile, auditory, and visual exercises to accommodate a range of learning preferences (Mayer, 2009).

6. Assessing Reading Comprehension Student development and the efficacy of instruction are guaranteed via assessment. Among the techniques are: • Formative evaluations, such as exit tickets, journal entries, and comprehension tests (Black & Wiliam, 1998).

- Summative evaluations include project-based assessments, essays, and standardized tests (Paris & Stahl, 2005).

- Self-assessment: Promoting metacognitive consciousness by introspection and objective formulation (Zimmerman & Schunk, 2011).

7. Final thoughts Effectively planning reading comprehension exercises improves learning outcomes and student engagement. A thorough framework for developing literacy abilities is offered by techniques including scaffolding, differentiation, assessment, interactive reading, post-reading reflection, and pre-reading preparation. The effects of digital tools and adaptive learning technologies on comprehension training should be investigated in future studies.

References:

1. Alvermann, D. E., Phelps, S. F., & Gillis, V. R. (1987). Content area reading and literacy: Succeeding in today's diverse classrooms. Allyn & Bacon.
2. Anderson, R. C., & Pearson, P. D. (1984). A schema-theoretic view of basic processes in reading comprehension. *Handbook of reading research*, 1, 255-291.
3. Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives. Longman.
4. Beck, I. L., McKeown, M. G., & Kucan, L. (2002). *Bringing words to life: Robust vocabulary instruction*. Guilford Press.
5. Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), 7-74.

6. Daniels, H. (2002). Literature circles: Voice and choice in book clubs and reading groups. Stenhouse.
7. Duke, N. K., & Pearson, P. D. (2002). Effective practices for developing reading comprehension. *Journal of Education*, 189(1-2), 107-122.
8. Fisher, D., & Frey, N. (2014). Better learning through structured teaching: A framework for the gradual release of responsibility. ASCD.
9. Flavell, J. H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive-developmental inquiry. *American Psychologist*, 34(10), 906-911.
10. Fountas, I. C., & Pinnell, G. S. (1996). Guided reading: Good first teaching for all children. Heinemann.
11. Guthrie, J. T., & Wigfield, A. (2000). Engagement and motivation in reading. *Handbook of reading research*, 3, 403-422.
12. Kintsch, W. (1988). The role of knowledge in discourse comprehension: A construction-integration model. *Psychological Review*, 95(2), 163-182.
13. Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia learning*. Cambridge University Press.
14. McNamara, D. S., & Magliano, J. P. (2009). Toward a comprehensive model of comprehension. *The Psychology of Learning and Motivation*, 51, 297-384.
15. Palincsar, A. S., & Brown, A. L. (1984). Reciprocal teaching of comprehension-fostering and comprehension-monitoring activities. *Cognition and Instruction*, 1(2), 117-175.
16. Paris, S. G., & Winograd, P. (1990). How metacognition can promote academic learning and instruction. In B. F. Jones & L. Idol (Eds.), *Dimensions of Thinking and Cognitive Instruction*, 15-51. Routledge.
17. Perfetti, C. A., & Stafura, J. (2014). Word knowledge in a theory of reading comprehension. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 18(1), 22-37.
18. Pressley, M. (2000). What should comprehension instruction be the instruction of? *Handbook of Reading Research*, 3, 545-561.
19. Rumelhart, D. E. (1977). Toward an interactive model of reading. *Attention and Performance*, 6, 573-603.
20. Snow, C. E. (2002). *Reading for understanding: Toward a research and development program in reading comprehension*. RAND Corporation.
21. Tomlinson, C. A. (2001). How to differentiate instruction in mixed-ability classrooms. ASCD.
22. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
23. Wilhelm, J. D. (2001). Improving comprehension with think-aloud strategies. Scholastic.
24. Wood, D., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). The role of tutoring in problem-solving. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 17(2), 89-100.
25. Zimmerman, B. J., & Schunk, D. H. (2011). *Self-regulated learning and academic achievement: Theoretical perspectives*. Routledge.
26. Zwiers, J. (2004). *Building academic language: Essential practices for content classrooms*. Jossey-Bass.