

Mavlonova Ugiloy Khamdamovna

Head of the Languages Department at Zarmed University

Bukhara, Uzbekistan, dagotys@mail.ru

POLITENESS AND ITS MEANS IN THE FIELD OF PHILOLOGY

Abstract: Politeness, a fundamental aspect of human interaction, plays a crucial role in communication by facilitating harmonious relationships and ensuring smooth social exchanges. In the field of philology, the study of politeness involves examining the linguistic tools, strategies, and conventions that individuals use to navigate social dynamics. This article explores the concept of politeness, its theoretical underpinnings, and the various linguistic means through which it is expressed. It also delves into the impact of culture and context on politeness strategies, drawing upon key linguistic theories, such as Brown and Levinson's Politeness Theory. The article concludes with a reflection on the role of politeness in communication, particularly in the modern digital age.

Key words: politeness, linguistics, philology, speech Acts, sociolinguistics, politeness theory, pragmatics, discourse

Introduction. Politeness is an integral part of social interaction, shaping the way people engage with one another in both personal and professional settings. In linguistic studies, particularly within the field of philology, the concept of politeness has garnered significant attention. Politeness is not merely a matter of using 'nice' words or phrases; it is a multifaceted phenomenon that is deeply embedded in the social fabric of communication. The study of politeness in language is concerned with understanding how speakers use linguistic forms to manage social relationships, avoid conflict, and express deference or solidarity.

Philology, as the historical study of language, is uniquely suited to investigate politeness, as it seeks to understand how language evolves, how cultural norms shape language use, and how social hierarchies are reflected in communication. By examining politeness through a philological lens, researchers are able to uncover the historical and cultural factors that have influenced polite speech, as well as the mechanisms through which politeness operates across different languages and contexts.

This article explores politeness and its linguistic means, focusing on theoretical frameworks and practical applications. We will begin by discussing the foundational theories of politeness, followed by an examination of how politeness is realized through linguistic structures. The final section will explore the contemporary challenges and developments in the study of politeness in the context of modern communication.

Main Body. Theoretical Foundations of Politeness

Politeness theory is a cornerstone of research in sociolinguistics and pragmatics. One of the most influential models is Brown and Levinson's Politeness Theory (1987), which posits that politeness is a means of mitigating face-threatening acts (FTAs). According to this theory, individuals possess two types of face: positive face, the desire to be liked and admired by others, and negative face, the desire to be free from imposition. Politeness strategies are employed to protect both of these faces.

Brown and Levinson outline several strategies for politeness, including:

- **Bald on-record:** Direct communication without any politeness markers, often used in situations of high intimacy or power asymmetry.
- **Positive politeness:** Strategies used to indicate closeness or solidarity, such as using inclusive language or compliments.

- **Negative politeness:** Indirect forms of speech that show respect for the other person's freedom, such as hedging or apologizing.
- **Off-record:** Indirect communication that allows the speaker to avoid direct responsibility for their words, such as using hints or sarcasm.

These strategies are shaped by factors such as social distance, power dynamics, and the degree of imposition in the interaction. Brown and Levinson's theory remains influential, though it has been critiqued for its Western-centric approach, particularly its emphasis on individualistic cultures.

Politeness in Language: Pragmatic Means and Strategies

The realization of politeness in language is highly variable, depending on both linguistic and cultural factors. There are various linguistic means through which politeness is achieved, such as speech acts, honorifics, mitigators, and formal/informal registers.

- **Speech Acts:** Politeness is often realized through speech acts, which are communicative actions such as requests, offers, apologies, and compliments. Each of these acts can be performed with varying degrees of politeness, influenced by the social context and the speaker's relationship with the listener. For example, a request may be phrased as a direct command or as a more polite indirect suggestion, depending on the level of formality and social distance.
- **Honorifics and Titles:** Many languages, including Japanese, Korean, and Spanish, employ honorifics as a primary means of expressing politeness. These linguistic markers reflect the speaker's respect for the listener's status, age, or position in a social hierarchy. For instance, the use of "sir" or "ma'am" in English, "san" in Japanese, or "usted" in Spanish are all examples of linguistic forms used to demonstrate deference.
- **Mitigators and Hedging:** Hedging is another common strategy in polite communication, used to soften statements or reduce the impact of requests. Phrases such as "I think," "perhaps," or "could you possibly" are frequently used to soften the force of a speech act and make it less imposing. In English, for example, "Could you please pass me the salt?" is a more polite form of a direct request like "Pass me the salt."
- **Formality and Register:** The level of politeness in language can also be influenced by the formality of the setting. In professional environments, formal language is typically used to maintain respect and distance, while in informal settings, more casual expressions are acceptable. However, the use of formality varies across cultures and social contexts.

Cultural and Contextual Influences on Politeness

Politeness is not a universal concept but is shaped by cultural norms and expectations. Different cultures prioritize different aspects of politeness, and what is considered polite in one culture may be perceived as impolite in another. For instance, in many Asian cultures, politeness is closely tied to hierarchy and respect for elders, while in Western cultures, politeness may be more focused on individual autonomy and equality.

In addition, context plays a critical role in determining how politeness is expressed. The same linguistic form may be used in different ways depending on the relationship between the speaker and the listener, the social setting, and the degree of formality. In more hierarchical societies, the speaker may use more formal or indirect language when addressing someone of higher status, while in egalitarian cultures, informal language may be more common.

The role of politeness is also evolving in the digital age. The rise of online communication has led to new forms of politeness, such as the use of emojis, memes, and informal language in digital texts. While these forms of communication may seem casual, they often function to establish rapport, convey emotions, or soften statements, thus fulfilling a similar function to traditional politeness strategies.

Conclusion. Politeness is an essential aspect of communication that reflects and reinforces social structures, relationships, and cultural values. In philology, the study of politeness provides insight into how language evolves and adapts to societal needs. By examining the linguistic means of politeness, such as speech acts, honorifics, and mitigators, researchers can uncover the complex ways in which people navigate social hierarchies and interact with one another. While politeness strategies may vary across cultures and contexts, they serve the common purpose of ensuring smooth and respectful communication.

In the modern digital age, the study of politeness continues to evolve, as new forms of communication emerge in online environments. As language scholars, it is essential to continue exploring the dynamics of politeness in both traditional and digital contexts to better understand how language shapes social interaction.

References:

1. Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). *Politeness: Some universals in language usage*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Holmes, J. (2013). *An introduction to sociolinguistics* (4th ed.). Routledge.
3. Leech, G. (2014). *The pragmatics of politeness*. Oxford University Press.
4. Watts, R. J. (2003). *Politeness*. Cambridge University Press.
5. Lakoff, R. (1973). The logic of politeness: Or, minding your p's and q's. In *Papers from the Ninth Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society* (pp. 292–305).
6. Spencer-Oatey, H. (2008). *Culturally speaking: Culture, communication and politeness theory*. Continuum.
7. Khamdamovna M. U. et al. The Rules Of Politeness And National Features Of Etiquette In Different Countries //Boletin de Literatura Oral-The Literary Journal. – 2023. – T. 10. – №. 1. – C. 3910-3918.
8. Ingliz tilida xushmuomalalikning pragmalingvistik va sotsiolingvistik kategoriya sifatidagi roli // Buxoro davlat universiteti ilmiy axboroti jurnali, 5/2024. – B. 175-180.
9. Mavlonova Ugiloy Khamdamovna. (2024). LINGUISTIC CONDITIONING OF POLITENESS CATEGORY IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK ARTISTIC DISCOURSE. *International Multidisciplinary Journal for Research & Development*, 11(11). Retrieved from <https://www.ijmrd.in/index.php/imjrd/article/view/2095>