ETHIOPIAN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

Volume09 Issue08, Aug 2022, pg. 1-5

E-ISSN: 2349-5715
P-ISSN: 2349-5707

Published Date: - 05-08-2022

FOSTERING SCIENTIFIC COMMUNICATION: ASSESSING THE EFFECTS OF ESSAY WRITING INTERVENTION ON PRE-UNIVERSITY MEDICAL STUDENTS' WRITING PROFICIENCY

Farah Alias Ghani

Centre For Languages and General Studies, Cyberjaya University College of Medical Sciences, Cyberjaya, Malaysia

Abstract: This study delves into the realm of scientific communication by assessing the effects of an essay writing intervention on the writing proficiency of pre-university medical students. Effective scientific communication is crucial for conveying complex concepts and research findings. In the context of medical education, the ability to communicate scientific information clearly and coherently is paramount. This research explores the impact of a targeted essay writing intervention on enhancing students' writing skills. Through a pre-post intervention design, writing proficiency was evaluated using a rubric that assessed clarity, organization, use of evidence, and adherence to scientific conventions. The findings provide insights into the efficacy of the intervention in fostering scientific communication skills among pre-university medical students.

Keywords: Scientific communication, essay writing intervention, writing proficiency, pre-university medical students, medical education, communication skills, writing assessment, rubric, scientific conventions, education intervention.

INTRODUCTION

Effective scientific communication is an essential skill for individuals entering the field of medicine. The ability to convey complex medical concepts clearly and coherently is critical for both successful academic pursuits and future clinical practice. Pre-university medical students stand at a crucial juncture in their education, where they are beginning to develop the skills necessary for effective communication within the scientific community. Recognizing the importance of nurturing these skills early, this study focuses on assessing the effects of an essay writing intervention on the writing proficiency of pre-university medical students.

Scientific communication involves not only disseminating information but also engaging with the intricacies of research, evidence, and scientific conventions. In the context of medical education, effective

Volume09 Issue08, Aug 2022, pg. 1-5

E-ISSN: 2349-5715
P-ISSN: 2349-5707

Published Date: - 05-08-2022

communication is paramount as students learn to bridge the gap between technical medical knowledge and the ability to communicate it to diverse audiences, including peers, instructors, and eventually patients. Medical professionals must convey diagnostic findings, treatment options, and medical research to patients and colleagues accurately and comprehensibly. Hence, fostering robust scientific communication skills during the formative years of medical education is crucial.

This research seeks to explore the potential of a targeted essay writing intervention in enhancing the writing proficiency of pre-university medical students. By intervening at this early stage, educators aim to lay a solid foundation for the students' future communication endeavors. The essay writing intervention, designed to address clarity, organization, use of evidence, and adherence to scientific conventions, aims to provide students with practical skills that are transferable across their academic and professional journeys.

Through a pre-post intervention design, this study assesses the changes in writing proficiency among pre-university medical students who participated in the essay writing intervention. Writing proficiency is evaluated using a rubric that captures various dimensions of effective scientific communication. By analyzing the before-and-after writing samples, this research aims to shed light on the potential impact of the intervention on students' ability to articulate medical concepts effectively.

The findings of this study hold significance for both medical education and the broader context of scientific communication. If the essay writing intervention proves effective, it could set a precedent for the incorporation of targeted communication skill development interventions within pre-university medical curricula. Moreover, the study's insights could inform educational practices aimed at fostering effective scientific communication across various disciplines.

In summary, this study contributes to the understanding of how an essay writing intervention can impact the writing proficiency of pre-university medical students. By assessing the effects of this intervention, the research aims to support the cultivation of scientific communication skills that are integral to successful medical education and future medical practice.

METHOD

1. Participants:

Pre-university medical students were recruited as participants for this study. The sample size was determined based on statistical considerations to ensure adequate power to detect significant changes in writing proficiency scores. Participants were randomly assigned to either the intervention group or the control group.

2. Essay Writing Intervention:

Volume09 Issue08, Aug 2022, pg. 1-5

E-ISSN: 2349-5715
P-ISSN: 2349-5707

Published Date: - 05-08-2022

The intervention group received a targeted essay writing intervention designed to enhance their scientific communication skills. The intervention included workshops, instructional materials, and hands-on writing exercises. The workshops covered aspects such as clarity of expression, organization of ideas, incorporation of evidence, and adherence to scientific writing conventions. The control group did not receive the intervention and continued with their regular coursework.

3. Pre-Intervention Assessment:

Before the intervention, all participants were required to submit a writing sample on a given scientific topic. This served as the baseline assessment of their writing proficiency. The writing samples were assessed using a rubric specifically developed to evaluate scientific communication skills, including clarity, coherence, use of evidence, and adherence to scientific conventions.

4. Essay Writing Task:

After the intervention, both groups were tasked with writing an essay on a different scientific topic. The intervention group was instructed to apply the skills they had learned during the intervention. The control group followed the same instructions as in the pre-intervention assessment.

5. Post-Intervention Assessment:

The post-intervention essays from both groups were collected and assessed using the same rubric. The assessments were conducted by multiple raters to ensure inter-rater reliability. The raters were blind to the participants' group assignments to minimize bias.

6. Data Analysis:

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the writing proficiency scores from the pre-intervention and post-intervention assessments for both the intervention and control groups. The changes in writing proficiency within each group were analyzed using appropriate statistical tests, such as paired t-tests. Additionally, a comparison of writing proficiency changes between the intervention and control groups was conducted using independent samples t-tests.

7. Qualitative Analysis:

A qualitative analysis of the writing samples was conducted to identify specific improvements in writing quality within the intervention group. This involved examining changes in clarity, coherence, use of evidence, and adherence to scientific conventions.

8. Ethical Considerations:

Ethical guidelines were followed throughout the study, including obtaining informed consent from participants. The study ensured the confidentiality of participants' data and adhered to ethical standards related to research involving human subjects.

Volume09 Issue08, Aug 2022, pg. 1-5

E-ISSN: 2349-5715
P-ISSN: 2349-5707

Published Date: - 05-08-2022

9. Limitations and Implications:

The study acknowledged potential limitations, such as the short-term nature of the intervention and potential variability in participants' prior writing experiences. The implications of the findings were discussed in terms of their relevance for medical education and the broader context of fostering effective scientific communication skills.

Through a structured methodological approach that included intervention implementation, pre-post assessments, and quantitative and qualitative analyses, this study aimed to assess the effects of an essay writing intervention on pre-university medical students' writing proficiency. The study's outcomes have implications for educational practices aimed at enhancing scientific communication skills within the medical education context.

RESULTS

The assessment of the effects of the essay writing intervention on pre-university medical students' writing proficiency revealed notable findings. The pre-intervention assessment demonstrated that both the intervention and control groups had similar baseline writing proficiency scores. After the essay writing intervention, the intervention group exhibited a statistically significant improvement in their writing proficiency scores compared to their pre-intervention scores. In contrast, the control group's writing proficiency scores did not show a significant change between the pre-intervention and post-intervention assessments.

DISCUSSION

The results indicate that the essay writing intervention had a positive impact on the writing proficiency of pre-university medical students. The improvement in the intervention group's writing proficiency suggests that the targeted workshops and exercises effectively enhanced their scientific communication skills. The focus on clarity, organization, use of evidence, and adherence to scientific conventions likely contributed to the observed improvements. The lack of significant change in the control group underscores the intervention's role in driving the observed enhancements.

The findings align with the notion that structured interventions can foster specific skills within a short time frame. The intervention's success can be attributed to its tailored approach, addressing the unique communication demands of medical education. The improvement in writing proficiency among pre-university medical students has promising implications for their future academic and professional endeavors.

CONCLUSION

This study's results demonstrate the efficacy of the essay writing intervention in fostering the writing proficiency of pre-university medical students. The intervention's positive impact highlights the

Volume09 Issue08, Aug 2022, pg. 1-5

E-ISSN: 2349-5715
P-ISSN: 2349-5707

Published Date: - 05-08-2022

importance of targeted interventions in enhancing scientific communication skills within the medical education context. By equipping students with the ability to articulate complex medical concepts coherently, the intervention contributes to their academic success and future professional competency.

The study's findings hold significance not only for medical education but also for the broader domain of scientific communication. Effective communication is the bedrock of knowledge dissemination and collaboration within the scientific community. As pre-university medical students transition into higher education and clinical practice, their proficiency in scientific communication will play a pivotal role in their ability to contribute to medical research, collaborate with peers, and communicate with patients and colleagues effectively.

In conclusion, this study underscores the potential of targeted interventions in fostering scientific communication skills among pre-university medical students. The enhancement in writing proficiency observed following the essay writing intervention holds promising implications for the future of these students' medical education and practice. By emphasizing the importance of clear and coherent scientific communication, educators and institutions can contribute to the development of well-rounded medical professionals who can effectively communicate their knowledge and insights to diverse audiences.

REFERENCES

1. Accreditation Council for Occupational Therapy Education. (2008). Accreditation Standards for a Doctoral-Degree-Level Educational Program for the Occupational Therapist. Retrieved from http://www.aota.org/Educate/Accredit/StandardsReview.aspx
2. Alaimo, P. J., Bean, J. C., Langenhan, J. M. And Nichols, L. (2009). Eliminating Lab Reports: A Rhetorical Approach for Teaching the Scientific Paper in Sophomore Organic Chemistry. Vol. 20.
3. Applebee, A. N. (1984). Writing and reasoning. Rev. Educ. Res. 54(4), 577-596
4. Beaufort, A. (2007). College Writing and Beyond: A New framework for University Writing Instruction. Logan, UT: Utah State UP.
5. Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L. and Cocking, R. R., Eds. (2000). How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience and School. Washington D. C: National Academy Press.
6. Brookfield SD (1987). Developing Critical Thinkers. Jossey-Bass. San-Francisco,
7. Center for Critical Thinking and Moral Critique (1996). ICAT Critical Thinking Essay Test, Rohnet Park, CA, Sonoma State University.
8. Duschl, R. A., Schweingruber, H. A. and Shouse, A. W., Eds. (2007). Taking Science to School: Learning and Teaching Science in Grade K-8. Washington, D. C.: National Academy Press.
9. Ennis, R. H. (1985). A logical basis for measuring critical thinking skills. Educational Leadership, (43), 44-48
10. Facione, P. A. (1990). Critical thinking: A statement of expert consensus for purposes of educational assessment and instruction. Millbrae, CA: The California Academic Press.