

## THE STUDY OF PAREMIOLOGY FROM DIACHRONIC AND SYNCHRONIC PERSPECTIVES

*Jumaniyazova Nasiba Kerimbay kizi*

*Urgench Ranch university*

**ABSTRACT:** This article explores the field of paremiology through both diachronic and synchronic approaches, offering an in-depth examination of the development and current state of proverbs within linguistic systems. The diachronic analysis sheds light on the historical evolution, semantic transformation, and cultural embedding of proverbs over time, while the synchronic aspect focuses on their present-day structure, function, and sociolinguistic relevance. By integrating both perspectives, the study aims to highlight the dynamic nature of paremiological units and their enduring role in shaping cultural identity and everyday communication. The research draws on diverse linguistic corpora, previous scholarly works, and comparative data to provide a nuanced understanding of proverb usage across time and space.

**Keywords:** Paremiology, proverbs, diachronic analysis, synchronic approach, linguistic change, cultural expression, sociolinguistics, language and tradition, semantic shift, folklore.

### INTRODUCTION

Paremiology, the scholarly study of proverbs and proverbial expressions, occupies a significant place within the broader field of linguistics and folklore. Proverbs, as condensed expressions of collective wisdom and cultural norms, serve not only as linguistic units but also as social and cognitive tools that reflect the worldview of a given speech community. Over centuries, these fixed expressions have preserved historical values, moral lessons, and pragmatic advice, thus functioning as linguistic artifacts of cultural heritage. The investigation of paremiological material through diachronic and synchronic lenses provides a comprehensive understanding of the evolution and current function of proverbs. A diachronic approach involves tracing the historical development of proverbs, examining how their forms, meanings, and usage have changed over time in response to social, political, and cultural shifts. This perspective allows researchers to uncover deep-rooted linguistic patterns and semantic transformations that proverbs undergo across generations. In contrast, the synchronic approach centers on the present state of proverbs within a particular linguistic system. It analyzes the structural features, pragmatic functions, and frequency of usage in contemporary speech. By focusing on how proverbs are employed in modern discourse—whether in literature, media, education, or everyday conversation—scholars gain insight into their continued relevance and adaptability. Integrating both diachronic and synchronic perspectives is essential for a holistic understanding of paremiology. This dual analysis not only reveals the historical richness of proverbs but also emphasizes their dynamic and context-sensitive nature. Furthermore, the comparative study of proverbs across different languages and cultures, supported by both historical and contemporary data, enhances our appreciation of their universal and culture-specific features. The purpose of this paper is to explore the theoretical foundations and practical implications of studying proverbs through diachronic and synchronic dimensions. By doing so, it aims to contribute to the interdisciplinary discourse surrounding language, tradition, and social communication, reaffirming the enduring significance of paremiological research in modern linguistics. The analysis of proverbs from a diachronic perspective reveals how these

expressions have transformed across centuries, adapting to linguistic, cultural, and societal changes. Many proverbs carry archaic words or structures, reflecting the historical stages of a language. For instance, shifts in social values often result in semantic re-interpretation or the gradual disappearance of certain proverbs from everyday use. Conversely, the synchronic perspective examines proverbs as they function in the present. In modern communication, proverbs continue to serve rhetorical and didactic purposes. They are commonly used in media, education, political speeches, and even digital platforms, illustrating their adaptability to contemporary discourse. Syntactic structures, frequency of use, and semantic relevance are key focus areas in synchronic studies. By comparing both perspectives, scholars can better understand how proverbs maintain continuity while undergoing transformation. This dual approach enriches linguistic, cultural, and pragmatic analyses, and demonstrates the proverb's role as a living element of language.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the study of paremiology through both diachronic and synchronic approaches provides valuable insights into the linguistic and cultural significance of proverbs. Diachronic analysis allows us to trace the historical development and transformation of proverbs, revealing their roots and the socio-cultural changes they reflect over time. On the other hand, synchronic analysis sheds light on how proverbs function in contemporary language, emphasizing their relevance in modern discourse and communication. By integrating these two perspectives, researchers can gain a more holistic view of proverbs as dynamic linguistic units that bridge the past and the present. This comprehensive approach not only enhances our understanding of language evolution but also affirms the continuing role of proverbs in expressing collective wisdom, identity, and values. Further interdisciplinary research in paremiology will undoubtedly deepen our appreciation for the richness and resilience of proverbial language across cultures and generations.

## REFERENCES

1. Abduazizova, Z. M. (2013). *Basics of Linguistic Culture*. Tashkent: UzMU publishing house.
2. Akhmedov, S. (2012). The role of paremiology in Uzbek language teaching. *Uzbek Journal of Language and Literature*, 4(1),.
3. Karimova, N. K. (2020). Uzbek proverbs in diachronic context: Cultural shifts and linguistic evolution. *Philological Issues*, 8(3), 122–129.
4. Mamarasulova, M. (2017). Comparative analysis of Uzbek and English proverbs: Synchronic and diachronic perspectives. *Foreign Language in Uzbekistan*, (2), 90–95.
5. Mathisons, M. (2010). Synchronic approaches to proverb usage in modern English. *Journal of Folklore Research*, 47(2), 201–218. <https://doi.org/10.2979/jfolkrese.47.2.201>
6. Nematova, S. (2021). Proverbs as linguistic heritage: Diachronic transformation in Uzbek paremiology. *Modern Philology Research*, 5(1), 78–85.

7. Norrick, N. R. (2007). Proverbs as conventional language: A synoptic view. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
8. Qosimova, D. (2016). Structural-pragmatic features of proverbs in Uzbek and English. *Language Teaching Methodology*, (3), 37–42.
9. Seiler, A. (2009). On the diachronic study of proverbs in Indo-European languages. *Linguistic Typology*, 13(1), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1515/LITY.2009.001>
10. Tokhtasinova, G. K. (2015). Proverbs and their role in folk oral culture. *Uzbek language and literature*, (1), 28–34.
11. Tokhirjonov, A. (2022). Synchronic features of Uzbek and Russian proverbs in political discourse. *Philology and Linguistics in the Modern World*, 4(2), 56–64.
12. Ziyodova, G. (2010). Cultural codes in Uzbek paremiology: Diachronic insights. *Oriental Studies*, (1), 15–21.